

**BOARD OF TRUSTEES
VILLAGE OF WARWICK
MAY 19, 2025**

10,692

The Regular Meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Warwick was held on Monday, May 19, 2025, at 7:30 p.m. in Village Hall, 77 Main Street, Warwick, NY. Present was: Mayor, Michael J. Newhard. Trustees: Barry Cheney, Carly Foster, Thomas McKnight, and Mary Collura. Also, present was Village Clerk, Raina Abramson and Village Attorney, Stephen Gaba. Others present, Police Chief, John Rader, Bob Krahulik, Zion Duke, Meridyth Duke, Vanessa Mann, Donna Haley, Paul Leone, Carl Zuidema, and Dan Mack.

The Mayor called the meeting to order and led in the Pledge of Allegiance.
The Village Clerk held the roll call.

Acceptance of Minutes

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Foster, seconded by Trustee Collura and carried for the Acceptance of Minutes: May 5, 2025.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

Acceptance of Reports

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Collura, seconded by Trustee Foster and carried for the Acceptance of Reports – April 2025: Clerk’s Office, Justice Department, Planning Department, Building Department, and Department of Public Works.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

Rescind Motion from May 5, 2025 - Authorization to Payll all Approved & Audited Claims

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Foster, seconded by Trustee Cheney and carried to rescind the motion made on May 5, 2025, approving the Authorization to Payll all Approved and Audited Claims in the amount of \$203,447.96 due to an error in the calculation of the abstract.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

Authorization to Pay all Approved & Audited Claims for the Abstract Dated May 5, 2025

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Cheney, seconded by Trustee Foster and carried to approve the Authorization to Pay all Approved and Audited Claims for the abstract dated May 5, 2025, in the amount of \$204,447.96

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

Authorization to Pay all Approved and Audited Claims

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Cheney, seconded by Trustee Collura and carried for the Authorization to Pay all Approved and Audited Claims in the amount of \$316,343.89.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

1. Police Report.

Chief Rader reported that most of the upcoming roadwork will impact areas within the Town. He stated that the Police Department is working closely with the Town, DPW, and the engineering team on FEMA repair projects scheduled through the end of August. The primary project that will affect the Village is the work on Ball and Brady Road. Coordination is also ongoing with other first responding agencies to prepare for any road closures. Updates will be provided via social media as changes occur.

Trustee Cheney asked whether there was an expectation of increased traffic on Route 17A due to residents in Ridgefield being unable to make a left turn onto South Street to

enter the Village. He noted that while this may lead to more vehicles using 17A, it could be somewhat offset by the fact that drivers coming down Brady Road will not be able to make a right turn either. However, this change may result in additional traffic being diverted onto South Street and Galloway Heights Road. He added that, based on what he had read, the construction and related detour are expected to last approximately four to six weeks.

Chief Rader commented that he was hopeful the construction and detour would be completed sooner than the current estimate.

Chief Rader announced that the dates for the Junior Police Academy have been finalized and posted. Session one will run from July 14 through July 25, and session two will take place from August 4 through August 15. The program is open to youth ages 10 to 15 from the three local school districts, including Florida. He also reminded residents that there is a prescription medication collection bin located in the department lobby, available 24 hours a day for the disposal of unused solid prescription medications. He noted that liquids are not accepted. While he regularly shares this reminder during Town meetings, he plans to do so at Village meetings moving forward.

Chief Rader stated that the Police Department will be present for the Memorial Day Parade taking place on Monday, assisting with road closures and detours. He added that they will also be on hand for the upcoming Pride Parade.

Chief Rader concluded his report by sharing that the department is hopeful to promote two of its police officers to the rank of detective.

1. **Public Hearing on the petition of Vanessa Mann and M&L Equity Auto LLC for adoption of a local law changing the zoning designation of certain real property identified as “Lot 2” in the subdivision of the property located at 42 Orchard Street, Warwick, New York (Tax Map Section 210, Block 11, Lot 5) from Residential (“R”) to Light Industrial (“LI”).**

The Village Clerk read the public hearing notice.

**VILLAGE OF WARWICK
VILLAGE BOARD
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a PUBLIC HEARING will be held by the Village Board of the Village of Warwick at Village Hall, 77 Main Street, Warwick, NY 10990 on the 19th day of May 2025 at 7:30 p.m. or soon thereafter as the matter can be heard on the petition of Vanessa Mann and M&L Equity Auto LLC for adoption of a local law

changing the zoning designation of certain real property identified as “Lot 2” in the subdivision of the property located at 42 Orchard Street, Warwick, New York (Tax Map Section 210, Block 11, Lot 5) from Residential (“R”) to Light Industrial (“LI”).

The Village Board will at the above-stated date, time and place hear all persons interested in the subject matter hereof. Persons by appear in person or by agent. All written communications addressed to the Village Board must be received by the Village Board at or prior to the public hearing.

BY ORDER OF THE VILLAGE BOARD
VILLAGE OF WARWICK
RAINA ABRAMSON
VILLAGE CLERK

Dated: March 28, 2025

Mr. Bob Krahulik, attorney for the Warwick Car Wash, explained that the application concerns the southernmost portion of what is commonly regarded as part of the car wash property but is, in fact, the rear portion of Ms. Mann’s residential lot. For the past 30 to 40 years, the car wash has operated under a lease agreement with Ms. Mann, allowing vehicles to circulate behind the tire center to access the car wash entrance. However, the parcel is zoned residential, and its use for commercial vehicle circulation constitutes a zoning violation.

Approximately three years ago, the Village Building Inspector advised the applicant to address the issue by applying for a zoning change to legalize the existing use. Mr. Krahulik emphasized that the proposal does not include any expansion or new pavement. Instead, it involves a zoning adjustment to allow the current traffic flow to continue legally. Additionally, the plan includes installing a tree line along the southern edge of the property and extending a fence between the car wash and Ms. Mann’s home.

He noted that the application has already been reviewed by the Zoning Board of Appeals and the Planning Board, and is now before the Village Board solely for consideration of the proposed zoning change from Residential to Light Industrial to formalize the existing use.

Ms. Donna Haley inquired about the location of the traffic path in question.

In response, Mr. Krahulik asked Ms. Haley if she had been to the car wash, to which she responded that she had. He explained that the traffic path continues past the gas pumps and around the building he referred to as the tire center, which was formerly the self-service car wash building and around the back of the building.

Mr. Zion Duke asked whether any new lighting would be installed as part of the proposed zoning change and continued use of the property.

Mr. Krahulik responded that there would be no physical changes to the property aside from the installation of a tree line for screening purposes and a fence behind Ms. Mann's property. No new lighting or other modifications are planned.

Mr. Duke asked where residents should direct any future concerns, as well as existing issues that have already been raised but remain unresolved.

Mr. Krahulik stated that he would be happy to convey any concerns to the property owner. He clarified that he was unsure whether Mr. Duke's question pertained specifically to the current zoning application or to broader issues related to the car wash's operations.

Mr. Duke clarified that his concerns were related to a separate proposal or issue, distinct from the current zoning change application.

Mr. Krahulik noted that the owner of the car wash was present at the meeting and described him as approachable. He suggested that Mr. Duke speak directly with the owner to discuss any outstanding concerns. He added that he was unfamiliar with the specific issue Mr. Duke was referencing and therefore was unable to provide a more detailed response.

Mr. Duke stated that he believed Mr. Krahulik had likely heard concerns from the neighborhood and reiterated that he would like clarification on where unresolved issues should be directed. He emphasized the importance of having these matters addressed before any further expansion or formal changes to the property proceed.

Mr. Krahulik responded by clarifying that no expansion is being proposed as part of this application. He emphasized that the physical conditions on the property will remain exactly as they are today.

Mr. Duke responded that he respectfully disagreed, stating that the proposal does constitute a change and represents an expansion of the Light Industrial zoning district.

Mr. Krahulik acknowledged that the proposal involves a change in the zoning designation of the property, but emphasized that the actual use of the property will remain unchanged. He clarified that the application simply formalizes the existing traffic pattern, which routes vehicles around the rear of the building.

Mr. Duke reiterated that he and others have previously addressed their concerns with the car wash owner through various channels. He expressed a desire to know the proper and official process for raising and resolving these issues moving forward.

Mayor Newhard advised Mr. Duke that he could bring any concerns to the Building Department, Planning Board, or Code Enforcement, depending on the nature of the issue. If the matter involves code violations, the Village can address those directly. He also noted that the Village Code is available online for reference.

Trustee Foster asked whether Mr. Duke's concerns were specifically related to the proposed change in zoning to Light Industrial.

Mr. Duke clarified that his concerns were not related to the current zoning proposal being discussed and stated that he did not wish to take up additional time in the meeting minutes.

Mr. Duke added that he was simply hoping to see if there was anything else that could be addressed, noting that there have been unresolved issues in the past.

Trustee Foster asked whether Mr. Duke's concerns were related to traffic, noise, or lighting.

Mr. Duke stated that there were a few issues, some of which may have already been resolved. He hesitated to bring them up in detail but mentioned that lighting had been a particular concern. He explained that the existing lights shine into every window of his home, even on the opposite side, due to reflection. He reiterated that he was asking whether any additional lighting was planned.

Mr. Krahulik confirmed that no additional physical improvements, including lighting, are proposed as part of this application. The only planned changes are the installation of screening trees and a fence along the rear of Ms. Mann's property.

Mr. Dan Mack referenced materials that had been made available and noted a memo from the Planning Board attorney that raised certain issues regarding the application. He asked whether those concerns would be discussed and stated that they should be included in the meeting minutes.

Mr. Krahulik responded that the issues referenced in the Planning Board attorney's memo were related to technical corrections on the environmental assessment form. He explained that some boxes were incorrectly checked—for example, the form had indicated that the property was not in a floodplain when it actually is. He clarified that these were clerical errors rather than substantive issues with the site itself.

Trustee McKnight pointed out that the environmental form had indicated “Yes” for land impact but did not include any explanation beneath it. He asked Mr. Krahulik whether that might have been another error.

Mr. Krahulik explained that he had initially checked “No” on several items in the environmental form because the application does not propose any physical changes to the property. However, the project engineer interpreted the form to require a “Yes” response regarding land impact. Mr. Krahulik acknowledged that they had no issue with updating the form to reflect “Yes” where appropriate.

Trustee McKnight noted that although it was the public comment portion of the hearing, he had a few questions and welcomed others to speak afterward. He said he had visited the property and understood that the roadway in question already exists. He expressed support for the effort to bring the use into compliance. However, he raised a concern about the repeated references to “Lot 2” in the application. It was his understanding that the subdivision creating Lot 2 had not yet been finalized. As a result, it appeared that the zoning change might technically apply to the entire 42 Orchard Street property, rather than just the intended portion. He emphasized the importance of ensuring the zoning change applies specifically to Lot 2, once formally established.

Mr. Krahulik explained that Lot 2 has been approved by the Planning Board, but that approval was contingent on the zoning change being granted. He described it as a “cart and the horse” situation. The process began with securing approval for the lot line change, followed by the need for the Village Board to approve the zoning reclassification. He added that the final step involves the purchase of Lot 2—Ms. Mann, who was present at the meeting, has a contract in place to sell the lot. Once the transaction is completed, Lot 2 will officially become part of the car wash property.

Trustee McKnight responded that the explanation made sense and revisited a previous suggestion: including conditional language in the approval that specifically ties the zoning change to Lot 2. He acknowledged that the Board would need to approve the zoning change first, after which the subdivision creating Lot 2 would be finalized. However, he expressed concern that the draft resolution might not clearly state that the zoning change applies solely to Lot 2.

Village Attorney Gaba clarified that it is not necessary for Lot 2 to be formally created in order to change its zoning. He explained that municipalities can change the zoning designation for a portion of a property. It is not uncommon for a single parcel to have multiple zoning districts running through it. As long as the specific area subject to the zoning change is clearly designated, the change can proceed regardless of whether the lot has been officially subdivided.

Trustee McKnight acknowledged Village Attorney Gaba's explanation and stated that he would feel more comfortable if the resolution specifically referenced the boundary description for what is to become Lot 2 within the larger 42 Orchard Street parcel. He mentioned that he was reviewing the application documents.

Mr. Mack asked whether the front lot, the portion of the property that faces Orchard Street, would remain zoned Residential.

Trustee McKnight responded that this is exactly the clarification they are trying to achieve regarding the zoning boundaries.

Village Attorney Gaba read from the proposed resolution, explaining that it states the zoning designation for a portion of the property located at 42 Orchard Street—identified on the Village tax map and more specifically as Lot 2 on the subdivision plat titled "M&L Equity Auto Site Plan," prepared by Brian Weidler Engineering, dated June 26 and subsequently revised—is hereby changed from Residential ("R") to Light Industrial ("LI"). He noted that the resolution includes a detailed legal description attached as Schedule A.

Trustee McKnight asked for confirmation that the boundary description referenced by Village Attorney Gaba would be included as part of the local law.

Village Attorney Gaba clarified that the local law serves to amend the Village's zoning map, but the specific boundary description will not be incorporated into the Village Code itself. Instead, when the zoning map is next updated, the designated portion of the property will be reflected as Light Industrial ("LI"). He added that by that time, the subdivided section will likely have been consolidated with the existing car wash lot, allowing the updated zoning to align with the revised tax map.

Trustee McKnight acknowledged the explanation and said he understood. However, he emphasized that there needs to be a clear and accurate record in the interim, noting that the Board is currently referring to Lot 2 even though it does not formally exist yet.

Village Attorney Gaba reiterated that it is not necessary for Lot 2 to formally exist in order to proceed with a zoning change. He explained that a portion of land can be rezoned as long as it is reasonably and clearly described. In this case, there is a map identifying the area, along with a metes and bounds legal description included in the documentation.

Trustee McKnight asked whether the zoning map and the metes and bounds description would be filed together as part of the official record.

Village Attorney Gaba confirmed that the local law, including the metes and bounds description, would be filed together and adopted as part of the official record in the Village Clerk's office. He clarified that while the law itself is not incorporated into the Village Code, it is nonetheless formally adopted and recorded.

Mr. Mack recalled that in previous comments made by Mr. Robert Dickover, there was a concern that the remaining residential lot might become too small if a portion were subdivided off. He questioned whether reducing the lot size would leave it noncompliant with Village Code and asked if that concern resonated with anyone present.

Mr. Krahulik responded that the minimum lot size requirement was one of the variances already granted by the Zoning Board of Appeals. He added that, in comparison to other properties on Orchard Street, the remaining residential lot is similar in size—if not larger—than most.

Trustee Foster asked for clarification on whether, given the progression of the process, the variances had already been granted.

Mr. Krahulik reiterated that the variance for minimum lot size had already been approved by the Zoning Board of Appeals.

Addressing Trustee McKnight's concern, Mr. Krahulik clarified that Lot 2 does, in fact, exist in terms of Planning Board approval—the Chairman has signed the subdivision map. The only reason the map has not been filed yet is because the Planning Board's approval was conditioned on the Village Board approving the zoning change. Once the Board acts on the zoning, the map will be filed.

Trustee McKnight asked whether the documentation provided across the various boards is sufficient to ensure that there will be no misunderstanding—that only the designated portion of the property, and not the entire 42 Orchard Street lot, will be zoned Light Industrial.

Mr. Krahulik stated that he could not alter the process at this point—Lot 2 has already been approved by the Planning Board and is clearly indicated on the subdivision map as the green section. He noted that the map explicitly labels Lot 2, and it is the same map that the Planning Board Chairman signed. However, because the Planning Board's approval is conditional on the Village Board granting the zoning change, he cannot proceed with filing the map until receiving approval from the Board.

Mr. Mack suggested that the Board could emphasize—perhaps even underline, as Trustee McKnight mentioned—that the zoning change applies only to Lot 2.

Mr. Krahulik responded that the application includes a full metes and bounds description down to the inch, emphasizing that it cannot be more detailed or specific than what has already been provided.

An unidentified speaker offered a correction, stating that they did not believe the remaining 42 Orchard Street lot would be the same size as every other lot on Orchard Street, contrary to an earlier comment.

Mr. Krahulik responded that the remaining lot at 42 Orchard Street is “pretty darn close” in size to the others on the street. He noted that he had the map available and invited anyone interested to take a look, pointing out that it includes all the lots along Orchard Street for comparison.

Trustee Foster remarked that the Board was simply curious about the background of the situation. She added that while it may not be material to the current decision, they were interested in understanding how the circumstances unfolded.

Mr. Krahulik explained that for the past 30 to 40 years—and possibly longer—previous owners of the car wash property had entered into lease agreements with the owner of Ms. Mann’s property to allow car wash traffic to circulate behind the building. Annual fees were paid for that right, and while he didn’t have the original lease on hand, he believed the arrangement may have existed informally even before it was formalized. He stated that the current property owner, Mr. LeDuc, was approached by the Building Inspector and advised to address the zoning issue behind the building. With retirement on the horizon and hopes to sell the property, Mr. LeDuc wanted to resolve the matter to avoid it becoming an obstacle in any future sale. As a result, he is investing considerable effort and expense to do things properly by purchasing the rear portion of Ms. Mann’s property outright—rather than continuing to lease it—and seeking the zoning change to legitimize the commercial use.

Mr. Krahulik clarified that Ms. Mann is only selling Lot 2, the vacant rear section used for traffic circulation. She is not selling her house—at least not at this time—and that is not part of the current proposal.

Ms. Donna Haley asked whether all the other properties along Orchard Street, leading up to Ms. Mann’s property, are owned by the car wash.

Mr. Krahulik responded that not all of the properties along Orchard Street are owned by the car wash, but a few of them are.

Ms. Donna Haley asked how many properties along Orchard Street are owned by the car wash.

Mr. Krahulik replied that the car wash owns four properties along Orchard Street. He added that, despite the ownership, those parcels are still zoned Residential, meaning the owner cannot convert them into additional space for commercial use under the current zoning.

Mr. Duke asked whether it is permissible under residential zoning to store business-related items on a residential property, suggesting that storage may not be explicitly prohibited.

Mr. Krahulik responded that storing items or vehicles on a residential property for commercial purposes is not permitted. For example, charging to park cars on a residential lot would constitute operating a commercial parking lot, which is not allowed under residential zoning.

Ms. Meridyth Duke noted that her question might not be directly related to the current issue but asked about the future potential use of the residential properties owned by the car wash. She inquired whether the owner could convert those properties—since they are already under his ownership—into an expansion of the car wash operation.

Mayor Newhard responded that the car wash owner could not simply expand the business onto those residentially zoned properties. He explained that doing so would require going through a complex approval process, and emphasized that the Board would likely support maintaining the integrity of Orchard Street as a residential neighborhood. He stated firmly that the Village does not want to lose that character.

Ms. Meridyth Duke expressed concern about the gradual encroachment of commercial uses into residential neighborhoods. She mentioned the presence of Yesterday's restaurant and the ongoing car wash issue, stating that it feels as though commercial activity is moving closer and closer to her property.

Mr. Zion Duke shared a positive comment about the car wash, saying that he and others appreciate having it as a neighboring business. He remarked that the property is always clean and well-maintained, the employees are pleasant, and he was glad to hear about the planned installation of trees for screening.

There were no further comments.

Close Public Hearing – Change of Zoning Designation

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee McKnight, seconded by Trustee Cheney and carried to close the Public Hearing on the petition of Vanessa Mann and M&L Equity Auto LLC for adoption of a local law changing the zoning designation of certain real property identified as “Lot 2” in the

subdivision of the property located at 42 Orchard Street, Warwick, New York (Tax Map Section 210, Block 11, Lot 5) from Residential (“R”) to Light Industrial (“LI”).

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

2. **Public Hearing on a proposed local law entitled “A local law amending Village of Warwick Village Code Chapter 69, ‘Flood Damage Prevention,’ to repeal and re-enact subsection 4.1, entitled ‘Designation Of The Local Administrator’.”**

The Village Clerk read the public hearing notice.

**VILLAGE OF WARWICK
VILLAGE BOARD
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a PUBLIC HEARING will be held by the Village Board of the Village of Warwick at Village Hall, 77 Main Street, Warwick, New York 10990 on the 19th day of May 2025 at 7:30 p.m. or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard on a proposed local law entitled “A local law amending Village of Warwick Village Code Chapter 145, ‘Zoning,’ to repeal and re-enact subsection 145-152(L) regarding the duration of variances”.

A copy of the proposed local law is on file with the office of the Village Clerk and available for inspection by interested persons during Village Clerk’s business hours. The proposed local law has been posted on the Village’s website <http://villageofwarwick.org/>.

The Village Board will at the above-stated date, time and place hear all persons interested in the subject matter hereof. Persons by appear in person or by agent. All written communications addressed to the Village Board must be received by the Village Board at or prior to the public hearing.

BY ORDER OF THE VILLAGE BOARD
VILLAGE OF WARWICK
RAINA ABRAMSON
VILLAGE CLERK

Dated: April 16, 2025

Trustee Cheney provided context for the proposed change, explaining that the current floodplain administrator for the Village is the Planning Board. The new law would transfer that responsibility to the Building Inspector/Code Enforcement Officer. He noted that the Village Engineer would still play an advisory role on applications involving floodplain concerns, and the Planning Board may continue to be involved in the review process. However, by shifting the administrative duties to the Building Department, which he described as a positive development.

There were no further comments.

Close Public Hearing – Amending Village Code Chapter 69

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Cheney, seconded by Trustee McKnight and carried to close the Public Hearing on a proposed local law entitled “A local law amending Village of Warwick Village Code Chapter 69, ‘Flood Damage Prevention,’ to repeal and re-enact subsection 4.1, entitled ‘Designation Of The Local Administrator’.”

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

3. **Public Hearing on a proposed local law entitled “A local law amending Village of Warwick Village Code Chapter 145, ‘Zoning,’ to repeal and re-enact subsection 145-152(L) regarding the duration of variances”.**

The Village Clerk read the public hearing notice.

**VILLAGE OF WARWICK
VILLAGE BOARD
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a PUBLIC HEARING will be held by the Village Board of the Village of Warwick at Village Hall, 77 Main Street, Warwick, New York 10990 on the 19th day of May 2025 at 7:30 p.m. or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard on a proposed local law entitled “A local law amending Village of Warwick Village Code Chapter 145 ‘Zoning,’ to repeal and re-enact subsection 145-152(L) regarding the duration of variances”.

A copy of the proposed local law is on file in the office of the Village Clerk and available for inspection by interested persons during the Village Clerk's business hours. The proposed local law has also been posted on the Village's website <http://villageofwarwick.org/>.

The Village Board will at the above-stated date, time and place hear all persons interested in the subject matter hereof. Persons may appear in person or by agent. All written communications addressed to the Village Board must be received by the Village Board at or prior to the public hearing.

BY ORDER OF THE VILLAGE BOARD
VILLAGE OF WARWICK
RAINA ABRAMSON
VILLAGE CLERK

RAINA ABRAMSON
VILLAGE CLERK
DATED: April 24, 2025

Trustee Cheney explained that the amendment would place a time limit on decisions made by the Zoning Board of Appeals. Specifically, once a variance is granted, the recipient would be required to act on it within 180 days by obtaining either a building permit or a certificate of occupancy. The change is intended to ensure that variances are acted upon in a timely manner.

Village Attorney Stephen Gaba agreed that the proposed amendment is indeed a restriction, but he noted that it is actually less restrictive than what is currently in the Village Code. Under the existing rules, individuals who receive a variance often struggle to obtain building permits in time, causing the variance to expire. As a result, they are forced to return to the Zoning Board of Appeals to seek the same variance again, which he described as a waste of time and resources.

The proposed law would allow 180 days to act on a variance, but importantly, that 180-day period would not begin until after the applicant secures Planning Board approval, if such approval is required. If approval from the Village Board is necessary, the timeframe would begin after that as well. Additionally, applicants would be able to request a 90-day extension from the Zoning Board if they are unable to satisfy a condition or obtain a required approval within the initial timeframe.

Mr. Gaba emphasized that while the law does impose a deadline, it is designed to streamline the process, making it easier for applicants to move forward with construction without repeatedly returning to the Zoning Board to renew an expired variance.

Trustee Cheney added context by outlining the broader permitting process. He explained that once a building permit is obtained, the applicant has one year to begin construction and two years to complete it. While extensions to these timeframes are possible, the 180-day requirement in the proposed law does not mandate that construction begin within that period—it simply requires the applicant to obtain either a building permit or a certificate of occupancy within those 180 days.

There were no further comments.

Close Public Hearing – Amending Village Code Chapter 145

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Foster, seconded by Trustee Collura and carried to close the Public Hearing on a proposed local law entitled “A local law amending Village of Warwick Village Code Chapter 145, ‘Zoning,’ to repeal and re-enact subsection 145-152(L) regarding the duration of variances”.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

4. Public Hearing on the Proposed Application for the Orange County Community Development Block Grant Program for FY-2026.

The Village Clerk read the public hearing notice.

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
ORANGE COUNTY
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT FUNDING
(CDBG –FY -2026)**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on Monday, May 19, 2025, at 7:30 P.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, a Public Hearing will be held before the Board of Trustees of the Village of Warwick, New York, at Village Hall, located at 77 Main Street, Warwick, New York. The Village of Warwick will hold a public hearing so that the public may offer comments and suggestions regarding projects to be considered by the Village of Warwick for application of \$75,000 up to \$175,000 for public infrastructure, public improvements, public facilities or other eligible activities to the Orange County Office of Community Development’s to be considered for inclusion in the County’s 2026 Action Plan to the U.S. Department Block Grant (CDBG). The Action Plan functions as a planning

document, an application for Federal funds and a strategy to be followed in administering HUD Programs. The 2026 County's Annual Action Plan will be prepared and submitted to HUD on or before November 15, 2025, or upon such date as instructed by HUD.

The purpose of the Public Hearing is to obtain the views of residents of the municipality, public agencies, and other interested parties, on housing and community development needs, to suggest projects to address such needs, and affirmatively further fair housing. There will be a discussion of community development needs within the Village of Warwick, proposed projects, and a review of any previous projects funded with CDBG. Organizations representing people with low and moderate incomes and people with low to moderate incomes are encouraged to attend this hearing so that their views can be heard as the CDBG program benefits people with low to moderate income.

The Village of Warwick will make reasonable accommodation and services necessary for citizens with sensory-impairments and disabilities at the public hearing. Translation services may be offered upon request and availability. People requiring such accommodation/services should contact the Village of Warwick at least three working days in advance of the meeting. People requiring information in alternative formats should contact the Village of Warwick at (845) 986-2031 (TDD Users: 7-1-1 NY Relay Center).

La informacion sera proporcionada en Espanol a peticion.

BY ORDER OF THE VILLAGE BOARD
VILLAGE OF WARWICK
RAINA ABRAMSON
VILLAGE CLERK
DATED: April 24, 2025

Mayor Newhard explained that for the current Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) application cycle, it is important for the Village to designate a specific project. He stated that he had identified three potential projects focused on sidewalk improvements and handicap accessibility and asked Village Engineer Jane Samuelson to review and evaluate them.

He read from Ms. Samuelson's assessment, beginning with the Church Street sidewalk project. She recommended this project as the most impactful, noting that it is located in a low- to moderate-income area and would provide direct access to Village Hall. She believed the project would be viewed favorably by the community development program. The only potential complication is the need for New York State Department of Transportation (DOT) approval at the Main Street intersection, but she did not see that as a significant obstacle.

The second option was the Park Avenue sidewalk. Ms. Samuelson considered this a very close second choice. However, she noted that in order to meet the minimum \$75,000 grant threshold, it might be necessary to extend the project to include a sidewalk along Burt Street as well. While the project area is also within a low- to moderate-income area, she stated that the addition of the Burt Street sidewalk would provide direct pedestrian access to Stanley Deming Park from Park Avenue Elementary School.

Mayor Newhard added that he was unsure about the low/mod income designation for the Park Avenue area, noting that it primarily consists of single-family homes. Trustee Cheney noted that there is currently a pathway used by residents of the Park Lane Apartments, including children, to walk to school, Stanley Deming Park, and the library.

Mayor Newhard added that the proposed sidewalk would also provide a safe route for seniors from Creekside and Burt Farms to walk into the Village.

During the discussion, members of the Board noted that sidewalks already exist in portions of the area identified by the Village Engineer. It was suggested that the intended project area may actually be the stretch along Burt Street, from Park Avenue to Park Place, where sidewalks are only partially present or missing altogether. The Board also expressed surprise that the estimated cost of the Park Avenue/Burt Street sidewalk project was lower than that of the Church Street sidewalk project.

Mayor Newhard cautioned that CDBG funding typically does not support the installation of entirely new sidewalks in areas where none currently exist—a limitation the Village has encountered in past applications. However, he noted that a walking path is being considered along the upper part of Stanley Deming Park, which could enhance pedestrian safety in that area. He added that permission would need to be granted by the school, though he did not anticipate that being an issue.

Trustee Foster commented on the poor condition of the sidewalks on Colonial Avenue, describing them as abominable. However, it was noted that because those sidewalks only lead into the Village and are not located within a qualifying low- to moderate-income area, they would not be eligible for funding under this particular CDBG program.

Trustee McKnight pointed out the deteriorating condition of the stretch of Parkway between South Street and Park Lane, noting that it is currently just asphalt and is breaking apart. He described it as a mess and emphasized its importance, as it connects Park Lane and serves residents walking down Parkway to reach South Street.

Trustee Cheney added that a specific section of the pathway—approximately eight to ten feet long—consists of deteriorated asphalt that has significantly decayed.

Mayor Newhard interjected to ensure that all potential options were being considered. He noted that another sidewalk project previously discussed was on the north side of West Street, from Wheeler Avenue to North Street. While that sidewalk is fairly uneven, the section from North Street to Howe Street is in particular need of replacement. However, he expressed concern that this project would be a difficult sell to Community Development, as it is not located within a low- to moderate-income area and does not provide direct access to any public buildings or parks.

Trustee Foster questioned the assessment, noting that the sidewalk on West Street does in fact provide important connectivity. She explained that it enables access through North Street to the nearby UME Church, and more broadly, it connects that section of the community to the downtown area.

Trustee Cheney commented that, in light of Trustee McKnight's earlier point, he wondered whether the Village Engineer had actually assessed the deteriorated asphalt sidewalk between Park Lane and South Street. He suggested that if that section were included in the scope of the project, the total cost would likely exceed the \$75,000 minimum required for the CDBG grant.

Village Attorney Gaba asked when the CDBG application was due, to which Mayor Newhard replied, June. Mr. Gaba then noted that the Board would need to make a decision by its first meeting in June in order to meet the submission deadline.

Trustee Foster suggested naming the selected project the "2025 Sidewalk Improvement Project."

Mayor Newhard asked Village Clerk Raina Abramson whether creating a general submission would meet the criteria required for the CDBG grant application.

Village Clerk Raina Abramson responded that she was unsure whether the proposed project title would meet the CDBG grant criteria and suggested that Village Engineer Jane Samuelson would be better suited to answer that question. She added that if the resolution language must align exactly with how the project is listed on the agenda or in the application, clarification from Jane would be necessary, as she was not familiar with how specific the requirements are.

Mayor Newhard said he would reach out to Village Engineer Jane Samuelson for clarification, but added that he planned to invite both Jane and Keith to visit and walk through the three proposed project areas. He stated that this would allow them to take a closer, more informed look before making a final decision.

Village Attorney Gaba clarified that the Board could close the public hearing that evening without needing to adopt the resolution immediately. He explained that the resolution could be finalized and adopted at the Board's June meeting, allowing time to confirm all details and ensure the language is accurate.

There were no further comments.

Close Public Hearing – FY 2026 CDBG Program

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Cheney, seconded by Trustee Foster and carried to close the Public Hearing on the Proposed Application for the Orange County Community Development Block Grant Program for FY-2026.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

Discussion

1. Village of Warwick Employee Holidays.

Mayor Newhard introduced a discussion item regarding Village of Warwick employee holidays, clarifying that the conversation pertained to the Village employee handbook and not the union contract. He noted that there was a motion on the agenda to recognize Juneteenth as an official holiday, in alignment with its designation as a federal holiday.

Trustee Cheney raised a concern that the discussion could have implications for the collective bargaining agreement and questioned whether it should instead be held in Executive Session.

Village Attorney Gaba asked whether Juneteenth was already included in the Village's collective bargaining agreement. Trustee Cheney responded that it was not. Mr. Gaba then advised that, in that case, the discussion likely should not be held in open session, suggesting it would be more appropriate for Executive Session.

Public Comment - Agenda Items Only

GUIDELINES FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

The public may speak only during the meeting's Public Comment period and at any other time a

majority of the Board allows. Speakers must be recognized by the presiding officer, step to the front of the room/microphone, give their name, residency, and organization, if any. Speakers must limit their remarks to three minutes (this time limit may be changed to accommodate the number of speakers) and may not yield any remaining time they may have to another speaker. Board members may, with the permission of the mayor, interrupt a speaker during their remarks, but only for the purpose of clarification or information. The Village Board is not required to accept or respond to questions from the public at meetings but may request that inquiries be submitted in writing to be responded to at a later date. All remarks must be addressed to the Board as a body and not to individual Board members. Interested parties or their representatives may also address the Board by written communications.

No comments.

**RESOLUTION SUPPORTING AND CONCURRING IN THE APPLICATION OF
THE VILLAGE OF WARWICK THROUGH THE ORANGE COUNTY OPEN
SPACE PROTECTION PROGRAM TO PURCHASE PROPERTY LOCATED IN
THE VILLAGE OF WARWICK WATERSHED**

Whereas, in 2024, the Orange County Legislature appropriated \$4 million to the Orange County Open Space Fund to preserve and protect valuable open space and natural resources throughout the County;

Whereas, the Orange County Open Space Fund provides matching grants to villages, towns, cities, qualified not-for-profits, and individual property owners for the purchase of land, development rights, or conservation easements that meet the objectives set forth in the Orange County Open Space Plan;

Whereas, the Village has received a Water Quality Improvement Program Grant for Watershed Acquisition from the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation in the amount of \$288,150 and four property owners have expressed an interest to convey, and the Village of Warwick wishes to purchase or obtain a conservation easement over the property, the land located on Cascade Road in the Town of Warwick and listed on the tax map as SBL 64-1-6, 64-1-7, 64-1-8, 64-1-43.

Whereas, the Village of Warwick intends to apply to the Orange County Open Space Fund for matching support in the amount of \$96,050 to purchase or obtain conservation easements over the proposed properties;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Village Board of the Village of Warwick, in its capacity as governing body of the Village, does hereby support and concur in the

application by the Village of Warwick for funding to purchase or secure a conservation easement from property owners over his or her lands located on Cascade Road in the Town of Warwick and listed on the tax map as SBL 64-1-6, 64-1-7, 64-1-8, 64-1-43.

Trustee Cheney presented the foregoing resolution which was seconded
by Trustee Collura,

The vote on the foregoing resolution was as follows: **APPROVED**

Barry Cheney, Trustee, voting Aye

Carly Foster, Trustee, voting Aye

Thomas McKnight, Trustee, voting Aye

Mary Collura, Trustee, voting Aye

Michael Newhard, Mayor, voting Aye

Rescind Motion – RAFA Systems LLC Starlink Devices

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Cheney, seconded by Trustee Collura and carried to rescind the motion made on March 17, 2025, to accept the proposal from RAFA Systems LLC for the purchase of Starlink devices including activation and subscription and a one-year Service Agreement Maintenance Plan at a cost not to exceed \$14,045.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

Discussion

Trustee Cheney explained that the Board had approved a solution back in March to address ongoing issues with system notifications—specifically, failures in delivering alerts via text and calls to the Village’s contract operator. Over several months, the contractor explored options and initially identified Starlink as a potential solution. However, within a week of the March 17 approval, they were informed by Starlink of significant increases in subscription costs.

In response, alternative solutions were tested, and two new units were installed in Warwick. After about six weeks of use, those units have proven effective, with notifications being sent and received in a timely manner. Trustee Cheney stated that the upcoming motion would reflect the contractor's recommendation based on those successful results and confirmed it made sense to proceed with the change. He indicated the Board could move forward with the first motion and then address the next step.

RAFA Systems LLC - Purchase of Phoenix EasyCell Kits

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Cheney, seconded by Trustee Foster and carried to accept the proposal from RAFA Systems LLC for the purchase of eleven (11) Phoenix EasyCell Kits including activation and subscription and a one-year Service Agreement/ Maintenance Plan at a cost not to exceed \$14,045. Funds are appropriated in budget code codes G8120-4500 and F8320-4550.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

Grant Administration Services - WQIP Round 16 Grant, Contract No. C01163GG

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Cheney, seconded by Trustee Foster and carried to accept the proposal for engineering services from Barton & Loguidice dated May 9, 2025, for additional Grant Administration Services for the Village Watershed Land Acquisition WQIP Round 16 Grant, Contract No. C01163GG, and authorize the Mayor to sign the amendment to the Master Services Agreement with Barton & Loguidice for a not to exceed amount of \$4,000.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

Discussion

Trustee Cheney provided background on a grant the Village received in 2022. Work began at that time with Barton & Loguidice coordinating services for appraisers and surveyors related to the project. Initially, three properties were involved, but the Village

was later contacted by an additional property owner who requested inclusion. Early on, the grant contract had not been finalized, which limited the ability to move forward. Once the contract was officially secured, efforts began to include the fourth property and move the project ahead.

Trustee Cheney noted that he had asked Barton & Loguidice for confirmation that the contract was now fully in place and, although he had not yet received it, Village Clerk Raina Abramson confirmed that the document had been completed through DocuSign. He emphasized that while the project is now on track, Barton & Loguidice had to invest a considerable amount of additional effort to navigate the contract process. Time has also already been spent preparing two new RFPs, which will need to be reissued, resulting in some additional costs.

DPW Seasonal Employee – Jack Maxcy

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Cheney, seconded by Trustee Collura and carried to hire Jack Maxcy to the position of Seasonal Department of Public Works Laborer at 40 hours per week for 16 weeks with a start date to be determined as per the recommendation of DPW Supervisor, Michael Moser. Salary will be based on the FY24-25 & FY25-26 budget.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

Engineering Intern – Cameron Kellan

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Cheney, seconded by Trustee McKnight and carried to hire Cameron Kellan to the position of Village of Warwick Engineering Intern at 32.5 hours per week for approximately twelve (12) weeks with a start date of May 21, 2025. The rate of pay to be in accordance with the FY24-25 and FY25-26 budget.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

Discussion

Trustee Cheney added that the work outlined is primarily focused on completing the Lead Service Line Inventory (LSLI), which involves conducting a house-to-house inventory. He noted that the Village's two engineering interns will be dedicating most of their efforts to this task over the summer.

FY25-26 Chemical Delivery – Slack Chemical

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Cheney, seconded by Trustee McKnight and carried to accept the bid from Slack Chemical for the delivery of PACl, Sodium Hydroxide (Caustic Beads), Sodium Hydroxide (Liquid Caustic 25%), Sodium Permanganate (Liquid 20%), and Citric Acid (Liquid 50%), as per the recommendation of H2O Innovation Senior Area Manager, Michael Herbert. The contract period will be June 1, 2025, to May 31, 2026.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

FY25-26 Chemical Delivery – Clean Waters Inc.

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Cheney, seconded by Trustee Foster and carried to accept the quote from Clean Waters Inc. for the delivery of Charge-Pack 282 Polymer (Liquid) as per the recommendation of H2O Innovation Senior Area Manager, Michael Herbert. The contract period will be June 1, 2025, to May 31, 2026.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

FY25-26 Chemical Delivery – Amrex Chemical Co.

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Cheney, seconded by Trustee Foster and carried to accept the bid from Amrex Chemical Co. for the delivery of Sodium Hypochlorite (Liquid 12.5%) and

Sodium Bisulfite 38%, as per the recommendation of H2O Innovation Senior Area Manager, Michael Herbert. The contract period will be June 1, 2025, to May 31, 2026.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

Discussion

Trustee Cheney explained that the items being discussed were two components that had come in over budget when the initial bids were received. As a result, the Village went out to bid again. This time, bids were received from three vendors, and the pricing was consistent with what the Village paid in the previous year.

FY25-26 Chemical Delivery – Coyne Chemical

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Cheney, seconded by Trustee McKnight and carried to accept the bid from Coyne Chemical for the delivery of Blended Ortho Phosphate and Potassium Permanganate, as per the recommendation of H2O Innovation Senior Area Manager, Michael Herbert. The contract period will be June 1, 2025, to May 31, 2026.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

Resolution To Fund the Village of Warwick Veterans Memorial Park Master Plan Patriot's Path & Related Improvements Project

WHEREAS, the Village Board of the Village of Warwick has undertaken and approved a project for the Village of Warwick Veterans Memorial Park Master Plan Patriot's Path & Related Improvements Project
; and

WHEREAS, the estimated cost of the said project is \$734,500; and

WHEREAS, the Village Board of the Village of Warwick proposes to pay for the said project with Local Community Assistance Program (LoCAP) grant funds to the extent of \$734,500.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby resolved as follows:

1. That the Village Board approves the aforesaid expenditure of funds from the said sources for the Village of Warwick Veterans Memorial Park Master Plan Patriot's Path & Related Improvements Project; and
2. That the Village Mayor is authorized to sign all documents necessary to carry of the terms hereof.

Trustee Foster presented the foregoing resolution which was seconded by Trustee Cheney,

The vote on the foregoing resolution was as follows: **APPROVED**

Barry Cheney, Trustee, voting	<u>Aye</u>
Carly Foster, Trustee, voting	<u>Aye</u>
Thomas McKnight, Trustee, voting	<u>Aye</u>
Mary Collura, Trustee, voting	<u>Aye</u>
Michael Newhard, Mayor, voting	<u>Aye</u>

**FY-2026 ORANGE URBAN COUNTY CONSORTIUM COMMUNITY
DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM GOVERNING BODY AUTHORIZING
RESOLUTION – TABLED**

The Village of Warwick is hereby submitting its Application for consideration under the FY-2026 Orange CDBG Urban County Consortium Community Development Program and the chief elected official or executive officer is hereby authorized to submit this Application for _____ (Project Name) as described in the proposal. Input from citizens and groups has been received and considered and an application has been prepared which addresses their community concerns. They further certify that they have read and understood the Orange CDBG Urban County Consortium Community Development Guidelines

for the FY-2026 program year and have met all of its applicable requirements and that the information contained in the Application is accurate and true to the best of their knowledge. If awarded CDBG funds, the Municipality shall implement the activities in a manner to ensure compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations.

_____ presented the foregoing resolution which was seconded by

_____.

The vote on the foregoing resolution was as follows: **TABLED**

Barry Cheney, Trustee, voting _____

Carly Foster, Trustee, voting _____

Thomas McKnight, Trustee, voting _____

Mary Collura, Trustee, voting _____

Michael Newhard, Mayor, voting _____

Table FY-2026 Orange Urban County Consortium Community Development Program Governing Body Authorizing Resolution

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Foster, seconded by Trustee Collura and carried to table the FY-2026 Orange Urban County Consortium Community Development Program Governing Body Authorizing Resolution.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

Discussion

Trustee McKnight asked whether the Board had enough time, with just one more meeting before the deadline, to finalize everything and ensure the submission would be completed on time.

The Board confirmed that only the resolution needed to be included with the application

at the next board meeting. This would allow them additional time to further evaluate and refine the details of the specific project areas before moving forward.

Records Eligible for Destruction – 2024 LGRMIF Project

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Foster, seconded by Trustee McKnight and carried to authorize the destruction of 72 boxes of records as detailed in the attached Listing of Records Eligible for Destruction created by Gallego Information Services in accordance with the New York State Records Retention and Disposition Schedule LGS-1 and as part of the awarded 2024 Local Government Records Management Improvement Fund (LGRMIF) Records Inventory project. The approximate cost of destruction by NYSID Preferred Source Vendor, ProShred/VRC Companies, LLC, will be \$462.45. Funds are appropriated in FY2024-25 budget code A1410-4950 and will be reimbursed through the LGRMIF grant award.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

2024 Records Eligible for Destruction

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Foster, seconded by Trustee McKnight and carried to authorize the destruction of 33 boxes of records as listed in the attached Listing of Records Eligible for Destruction in accordance with the New York State Records Retention and Disposition Schedule LGS-1 as part of the Village of Warwick Records Management Plan. The approximate cost of destruction by NYSID Preferred Source Vendor, ProShred/VRC Companies, LLC will be \$199.65. Funds are appropriated in FY2024-25 budget code A1410-4950.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

FY25-26 Recreation Salary Increase – Directors and Assistant Directors

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Foster, seconded by Trustee Collura and carried to increase the hourly rates for the Village of Warwick Recreation Program Directors and Health Directors

to \$20.00 per hour and Assistant Program Directors to \$15.00 per hour for FY2025-26.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

Discussion

Mayor Newhard noted that after some prior discussion, the original request for across-the-board increases was reduced. The current proposal only includes pay increases for program directors and assistant directors.

Trustee Foster added that the Village Treasurer reviewed the proposed increases and indicated that they could be accommodated within the current budget.

Road Closure – Park Avenue - Park Avenue Elementary Warrior Course Event

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Foster, seconded by Trustee McKnight and carried to grant permission to close Park Avenue between Galloway Road and Burt Street on Thursday, May 22, 2025, from 4:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m., for the benefit of the Park Avenue Elementary Warrior Course Event.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

Facility Use Request – Stanley Deming Park, Park Ave. Elementary Kindergarten Picnic

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Foster, seconded by Trustee McKnight and carried to grant permission to Park Avenue Elementary School to hold a kindergarten class picnic in Stanley-Deming Park on Thursday, June 12, 2025, between 10:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m., with a rain date of Tuesday, June 17, 2025. Request includes use of restrooms. Completed park permit and proof of insurance have been received.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

Facility Use Request – Stanley Deming Park Baseball Field , Christ Church

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Foster, seconded by Trustee Cheney and carried to grant permission to Christ Church to use the baseball field at Stanley-Deming Park for a kickball game on Wednesday, June 11, 2025, from 5:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. Completed park permit, proof of insurance, and security deposit have been received.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

Closure of Village Hall – Juneteenth, Thursday, June 19, 2025

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Collura, seconded by Trustee McKnight to close Village Hall on Thursday, June 19, 2025, in observance of the Federal holiday Juneteenth.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

Budget Modification

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Collura, seconded by Trustee McKnight and carried to approve the budget modification request for certain FY2024-25 budget account lines as per the Village Treasurer's memo dated May 19, 2025.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

RESOLUTION ADOPTING NEGATIVE DECLARATION UNDER SEQRA

WHEREAS, the Village Board of the Village of Warwick has before it a draft local law entitled "A local law to amend Village Code Chapter 145 entitled 'Zoning' to change the zoning designation of certain real property located at 42 Orchard Street from Residential ('R') to Light Industrial ('LI')"; and

WHEREAS, this is an action subject to SEQRA; and

WHEREAS, the Village Board as Lead Agency in an uncoordinated review has prepared and approved an Environmental Assessment Form ("EAF") and has caused to be prepared an EAF Part II and Part III: and

WHEREAS, following due notice the Village Board has conducted a public hearing on the said proposed local law and heard all persons interested in the subject matter thereof;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:

1. That the Village Board adopts Part II and Part III of the EAF and authorizes the Mayor to sign the same, and
2. That after considering all of the information presented to it including the EAF, the Village Board adopts the Negative Declaration attached hereto.

Trustee McKnight presented the foregoing resolution which was seconded by Trustee Collura,

The vote on the foregoing resolution was as follows: **APPROVED**

Barry Cheney, Trustee, voting Aye

Carly Foster, Trustee, voting Aye

Thomas McKnight, Trustee, voting Aye

Mary Collura, Trustee, voting Aye

Michael Newhard, Mayor, voting Aye

Discussion

Trustee Foster asked whether a vote to adopt the resolution would obligate the Board to also vote in favor of the related zoning change.

Trustee McKnight clarified that the current resolution pertains only to the SEQR (State Environmental Quality Review) process. He acknowledged that Trustee Foster may have been referring to the subsequent resolution that would actually permit the zoning change. He then asked for clarification on the next steps following the zone change, noting that the applicant has already received certain prior approvals.

Trustee Foster questioned whether accepting the SEQR determination logically commits the Board to approving the zoning change. She asked if it would be inconsistent or a failure of logic for a Board member to vote in favor of the SEQR but against the subsequent zoning change.

Village Attorney Gaba responded that it is not a failure of logic to vote differently on the SEQR determination and the zoning change. He explained that while a proposed zoning change may have no adverse environmental impacts—justifying a negative declaration under SEQR—the decision to approve or deny the zoning change itself remains discretionary for the Board. As long as there is a rational basis, the Board is free to vote either way.

He added that even if there are no environmental concerns, a Board member may still believe the property should remain residential and vote against the zoning change. He also noted that the Planning Board had already adopted a negative declaration under SEQR for the project, making it unlikely that a full Environmental Impact Statement would be required. However, this does not restrict the Board from making an independent decision on the zoning resolution.

RESOLUTION ADOPTING A LOCAL LAW
GRANTING A PETITION FOR A ZONING CHANGE
AMENDED

WHEREAS, the Village Board has before it a proposed local law entitled: “A local law to amend Village Code Chapter 145 entitled ‘Zoning’ to change the zoning designation of certain real property located at 42 Orchard Street from Residential (‘R’) to Light Industrial (‘LI’); and

WHEREAS, a Negative Declaration under the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act has been adopted in regard to the proposed local law; and

WHEREAS, all referrals required by law have been made upon the proposed local law; and

WHEREAS, following due notice the Village Board held a public hearing on the proposed local law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:

1. That the Village Board hereby adopts the local law; and
2. That the local law shall be effective immediately and shall be filed in the office of the Secretary of State in Albany as required by applicable law.

Trustee McKnight presented the foregoing resolution which was seconded by Trustee Cheney,

The vote on the foregoing resolution was as follows: **AMENDED**

Barry Cheney, Trustee, voting _____

Carly Foster, Trustee, voting _____

Thomas McKnight, Trustee, voting _____

Mary Collura, Trustee, voting _____

Michael Newhard, Mayor, voting _____

Discussion

Trustee McKnight emphasized the importance of clarity in the meeting minutes, noting that the local law refers to the concept of “Lot 2” and includes boundary descriptions. He pointed out that when people search records in the future to understand what took place, the minutes often serve as a key source of information. For that reason, he suggested that the minutes should clearly state that the zoning change applies only to a portion of the full 42 Orchard Street parcel—not the entire lot. He added that referencing the specific geographic boundaries would help prevent misinterpretation, especially since a blanket reference to “42 Orchard Street” could misleadingly suggest that the entire parcel, located in a residential area, was rezoned.

Trustee McKnight proposed a wording adjustment to the resolution to make it explicitly clear that the zoning change does not apply to the entire 42 Orchard Street parcel. He explained that such clarification would help ensure that future readers of the resolution understand that it refers only to a specific portion of the property, not the full lot.

Trustee Foster suggested that the resolution include a reference to the map, which clearly distinguishes between Lot 1 and Lot 2. She noted that doing so would help clarify that the zoning change applies only to Lot 2 and not the entire 42 Orchard Street property.

Village Attorney Gaba offered suggested language for added clarity in the resolution. He proposed inserting an additional “Whereas” clause after the existing clauses and before the “Now, therefore, be it resolved” section. The new clause would state: *“Whereas, the proposed zoning change shall apply only to the portion of the subject property designated as Lot 2 on the subdivision plat and identified as a portion of the lot in the proposed local law,”* or wording to that effect.

Trustee McKnight stated that the proposed addition to the resolution clearly preserves the residential character of Orchard Street and merely formalizes a long-standing use. He noted that vehicles already circulate around the lot in question and, upon examining the subdivided parcel, there is clearly no space for future development. He emphasized that any attempt to build there would not meet approval standards, especially since the area lies within a floodplain.

Trustee Foster raised a hypothetical concern, asking what could potentially become of the residential property if the existing structure on the property were ever torn down. She

also questioned if there could be enough space to accommodate vehicle circulation. without relying on the subdivided lot. She questioned whether the current traffic pattern could potentially be reconfigured and the full residential lot remain in place.

Mayor Newhard responded that reconfiguring the traffic pattern without the subdivided lot would not be feasible. He explained that this is precisely why the car wash has been leasing that portion of the property for so many years.

Trustee Collura commented that the subdivided portion of the property is so well integrated into the existing traffic flow that one would never realize it was once part of a separate residential lot.

RESOLUTION ADOPTING A LOCAL LAW
GRANTING A PETITION FOR A ZONING CHANGE
AS AMENDED

WHEREAS, the Village Board has before it a proposed local law entitled: “A local law to amend Village Code Chapter 145 entitled ‘Zoning’ to change the zoning designation of certain real property located at 42 Orchard Street from Residential (‘R’) to Light Industrial (‘LI’); and

WHEREAS, a Negative Declaration under the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act has been adopted in regard to the proposed local law; and

WHEREAS, all referrals required by law have been made upon the proposed local law; and

WHEREAS, following due notice the Village Board held a public hearing on the proposed local law;

WHEREAS, the proposed zoning change shall apply only to the portion of the subject property designated as Lot 2 on the subdivision plat and identified as a portion of the Lot on the proposed local law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:

1. That the Village Board hereby adopts the local law; and
2. That the local law shall be effective immediately and shall be filed in the office of the Secretary of State in Albany as required by applicable law.

Trustee McKnight presented the foregoing resolution which was seconded by Trustee Collura,

The vote on the foregoing resolution was as follows: **APPROVED**

Barry Cheney, Trustee, voting	<u>Aye</u>
Carly Foster, Trustee, voting	<u>Abstain</u>
Thomas McKnight, Trustee, voting	<u>Aye</u>
Mary Collura, Trustee, voting	<u>Aye</u>
Michael Newhard, Mayor, voting	<u>Aye</u>

RESOLUTION ADOPTING VILLAGE OF WARWICK
LOCAL LAW OF 2025

WHEREAS, the Village Board has before it entitled “A local law amending Village of Warwick Village Code Chapter 69, ‘Flood Damage Prevention,’ to repeal and re-enact subsection 4.1, entitled ‘Designation Of The Local Administrator’”; and

WHEREAS, following due notice the Village Board held a public hearing on the proposed local law; and

WHEREAS, the proposed local law is a Type II Action under SEQRA; and

WHEREAS, the Village Board has made all necessary referrals;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:

1. That the Village Board does hereby adopt the proposed local law;

2. That the said local law shall take effect upon filing of the same in the Office of the Secretary of State in Albany.

Trustee McKnight presented the foregoing resolution which was seconded by
Trustee Cheney,

The vote on the foregoing resolution was as follows: **APPROVED**

Barry Cheney, Trustee, voting Aye

Carly Foster, Trustee, voting Aye

Thomas McKnight, Trustee, voting Aye

Mary Collura, Trustee, voting Aye

Michael Newhard, Mayor, voting Aye

Resolution Amending Schedule of Fees to the Building Department

WHEREAS, Village of Warwick Code §64-1 provides that a comprehensive schedule of fees, including Building Department fees, be approved by the Village Board; and

WHEREAS, in order to amend the Village's Schedule of Fees to change the Building Department fees it is necessary for the Village Board to adopt a resolution; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Village's Schedule of Fees is hereby amended as follows:

In the Schedule of Fees, under heading "Building Department" the following fees shall be added:

Category	Sub-Category	Type of Fee	Amount	Code Section
Building Department		Flood Plain Permit Application Fee	\$500.00	69 4.2-2
Building Department		Flood Plain Escrow Deposit	\$1,000.00	69 4.2-2

Trustee McKnight presented the foregoing resolution which was seconded by

Trustee Foster,

The vote on the foregoing resolution was as follows: **APPROVED**

Barry Cheney, Trustee, voting Aye

Carly Foster, Trustee, voting Aye

Thomas McKnight, Trustee, voting Aye

Mary Collura, Trustee, voting Aye

Michael Newhard, Mayor, voting Aye

**RESOLUTION MAKING A NEGATIVE DECLARATION UNDER SEQRA
ON A LOCAL LAW REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING ZONING CODE § 145-152(L)**

WHEREAS, the Village Board of the Village of Warwick has before it a proposed local law repealing and re-enacting Zoning Code § 145-152(L); and

WHEREAS, the proposed local law is an action subject to SEQRA, and

WHEREAS, the Village Board as Lead Agency has caused to be prepared an Environmental Assessment Form ("EAF"), including Parts I, II and III; and

WHEREAS, following due notice the Village Board has conducted a public hearing on the proposed local law and heard all persons interested in the subject matter thereof; and

WHEREAS, the Village Board, as assisted by its consultants, has considered the potential environmental impacts of the proposed action;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:

1. That the Village Board accepts and approves the said EAF Parts I, II and III and the Mayor is hereby authorized to sign the same; and
2. That after considering all of the information presented to it, including the EAF, the Village Board adopts the Negative Declaration attached hereto.

Trustee McKnight presented the foregoing resolution which was seconded by Trustee Foster,

The vote on the foregoing resolution was as follows: **APPROVED**

Barry Cheney, Trustee, voting Aye

Carly Foster, Trustee, voting Aye

Thomas McKnight, Trustee, voting Aye

Mary Collura, Trustee, voting Aye

Michael Newhard, Mayor, voting Aye

RESOLUTION ADOPTING VILLAGE OF WARWICK
LOCAL LAW OF 2025

WHEREAS, the Village Board has before it entitled “A local law amending Village of Warwick Village Code Chapter 145, ‘Zoning,’ to repeal and re-enact subsection 145-152(L) regarding the duration of approvals issued by the Village Zoning Board of Appeals”; and

WHEREAS, following due notice the Village Board held a public hearing on the proposed local law; and

WHEREAS, the Village has completed SEQRA Review and made all necessary referrals;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:

1. That the Village Board does hereby adopt the proposed local law;
2. That the said local law shall take effect upon filing of the same in the Office of the Secretary of State in Albany.

Trustee McKnight presented the foregoing resolution which was seconded
by Trustee Cheney,

The vote on the foregoing resolution was as follows: **APPROVED**

Barry Cheney, Trustee, voting Aye

Carly Foster, Trustee, voting Aye

Thomas McKnight, Trustee, voting Aye

Mary Collura, Trustee, voting Aye

Michael Newhard, Mayor, voting Aye

**Resolution Amending Schedule of Fees
to Amend Certain Water Fees**

WHEREAS, Village of Warwick Code §64-1 provides that a comprehensive schedule of fees, including water system operations, be approved by the Village Board; and

; and

WHEREAS, in order to amend the Village's Schedule of Fees to change the Building Department fees it is necessary for the Village Board to adopt a resolution; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Village's Schedule of Fees is hereby amended as follows:

In the Schedule of Fees, under heading “Building Department” the following fees shall be deleted:

Category	Sub-Category	Type of Fee	Amount	Code
Water	Rents for standby water pressure for sprinkler systems, per year	Service pipe less than 8 inches in diameter	\$80.00	141-35
Water	Rents for standby water pressure for sprinkler systems, per year	Service pipe 8 inches in diameter and over	\$100.00	141-35

In the Schedule of Fees, under heading “Water” the following fees shall be added:

Category	Sub-Category	Type of Fee	Amount	Code
Water	Fire Sprinkler Systems	Rents for standby water pressure for fire sprinkler systems, per quarter	\$25.00	141-35

Trustee McKnight presented the foregoing resolution which was seconded
by Trustee Cheney,

The vote on the foregoing resolution was as follows: **APPROVED**

Barry Cheney, Trustee, voting Aye

Carly Foster, Trustee, voting Aye

Thomas McKnight, Trustee, voting Aye

Mary Collura, Trustee, voting Aye

Michael Newhard, Mayor, voting Aye

Reports

Trustee Cheney's Report:

Trustee Cheney reiterated the update previously discussed with Chief Rader regarding upcoming construction on Ball Road. He explained that the Town of Warwick will be replacing the culvert under Ball Road near the intersection with Brady Road and South Street Extension. This project will require the full closure of Ball Road for approximately four to seven weeks, beginning around the end of May. As a result, traffic that normally uses Ball Road to travel east onto Route 17A will be detoured, and residents of Ridgefield will need to access 17A and then loop back to reach the center of the Village. Trustee Cheney advised residents to stay informed through social media, noting that the Town will be posting updates, and the Village will also share notifications once received.

Trustee Foster's Report:

Trustee Foster provided a mobility and parks update. She began by announcing that the Village is currently accepting proposals from transportation planning firms to support the Safe Streets for All project. The proposal window will close on Friday, after which submissions will be evaluated and a firm selected. On the parks improvement front, she reported that a landscape architect has been selected for the Patriots Path project, which is now moving forward following a vote of approval at the last Board meeting.

She also highlighted activity near the skate park and the Albert Wisner Public Library, noting two separate initiatives underway: the skate park itself and a pump track sponsored by the Warwick Lions Club. Construction of the pump track is scheduled to begin on June 1 and will take place over the course of the week. The Lions Club is still seeking volunteer support for that effort.

Significant progress has already been made on the skate park, where site work was completed by Ground Control Excavating, led by Mark Potempa. The work, provided as an in-kind donation, spanned five weeks and saved the Village approximately \$132,000—an extraordinary contribution to the community. Trustee Foster also recognized additional donations, including one from Jones Chemical that will fund a shade structure. She noted the installation of robust drainage infrastructure and mentioned that materials used on-site were tested for safety due to concerns with overly porous soil. As a result, a concrete composite was brought in.

She expressed deep appreciation to the Department of Public Works, especially Jason, Mike Moser, and Dave Getz, along with Ground Control and the Town of Warwick for their support. She invited others to mention anyone who may have been inadvertently left out.

Mayor Newhard added that the Warwick Skatepark Initiative—a dedicated group of men and women—has played a vital role in driving the project forward. He praised their determination and highlighted the remarkable generosity the community has shown in recent weeks. He noted that the Foundation has also contributed significantly, and mentioned that Duane Mandelbaum will be assisting with materials such as concrete and rebar. The Mayor emphasized that the group is successfully drawing on the extensive talent and resources available within the Warwick Valley, and remarked that the collaboration has been inspiring to witness.

Trustee Foster shared that, in addition to the ongoing park improvements, three new kayak launches will be installed this summer. She highlighted that these launches will allow kayakers to travel from the Drowned Lands area all the way to Veterans Memorial Park, with designated stops in downtown Warwick and at the pump station. She offered special thanks to Justin and Walter, members of the local kayaking community, for their collaboration with the Village and the Department of Public Works in designing the launch sites.

Trustee Collura's Report:

Trustee Collura informed the Board that everyone should have received a proof of the upcoming four-page summer newsletter. She plans to send it to print next Tuesday and asked members to review it in the meantime. This edition will feature color photos at no

extra cost, specifically to highlight the Department of Public Works' safety equipment, which is primarily orange and not visible in black-and-white printing. The newsletter will also include a photo of the new mural.

Additionally, Trustee Collura reported that she sent another item to print last week—a new historical and architectural walking map developed in collaboration with the Architectural and Historic Review Board, with assistance from Matthew LoPinto. The map features approximately 30 properties, including residential homes, commercial buildings, churches, Village Hall, and the tourism center. Each location includes a brief history, a description of the architectural style, and interesting historical facts. The map is expected to be available by the end of the month at both Village Hall and the tourism center at 75 Main Street.

The Board discussed adding updates on current projects to the upcoming summer newsletter. It was suggested to include the kayak launches as well as the addition of the pump track and skate park, which were not yet mentioned. Trustee McKnight suggested including the Lead Service Line Inventory project. Trustee Collura confirmed there was enough space to include these items under the “Community Development and Recognition” section. It was also clarified that the newsletter serves as a year-in-review, aligning with the start of the Village’s fiscal year.

Trustee Collura added that the recent Summer Recreation Program sign-up held on Saturday was a success. After several years of technical difficulties and long wait times, this year’s registration went smoothly, with no complaints. She shared that she personally registered two children in about seven minutes. Trustee Collura expressed appreciation to everyone involved, including BeClutch—the Village’s new registration platform—and staff from the Recreation Department for their efforts.

The Board discussed how smoothly the Summer Recreation Program signup went this year using the new BeClutch website. Trustee Foster noted that she had heard positive feedback from parents, who said the process was much quicker and more efficient compared to previous years. She also suggested that the Village consider offering the sports programs on a weekly basis—similar to the park program—to better accommodate families taking vacations.

Trustee McKnight’s Report:

Trustee McKnight announced that the annual Too Good to Toss event is taking place again this year. Donations can be dropped off on Saturday, May 31, from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. at the basketball court in Stanley Deming Park. The free community shopping day will follow on Sunday, June 1, during the same hours. The event is organized by Wickham Works, who have done an outstanding job running it each year. He noted that

the event has helped divert numerous dumpsters' worth of items from the landfill. Trustee McKnight reminded residents to check the Village website or Wickham Works' site for guidelines on what can and cannot be donated.

Mayor Newhard's Report:

Mayor Newhard reminded everyone that Memorial Day is next Monday, and the Village will once again hold its annual parade organized by the American Legion. He described it as a meaningful community event and encouraged all residents to attend in support of veterans. The parade will begin on High Street, proceed down Main Street, up Oakland Avenue to Warwick Cemetery for a ceremony, then continue to St. Stephen's Catholic Cemetery for prayers and remarks, and conclude at the Firemen's Veterans Memorial in Veterans Memorial Park.

He also extended heartfelt thanks to the Warwick Valley Gardeners and the many volunteers who helped plant flowers in the business district. With the help of around 15 volunteers, they successfully planted hundreds of flowers in 60 planters in just one hour. Mayor Newhard expressed gratitude to the local growers who contributed—Corwin, Emmerich's, and GF Greenhouses in Sugar Loaf—and gave special recognition to Ginny Emmerich for designing the planter arrangements.

Mayor Newhard acknowledged Village Attorney Stephen Gaba, noting that it was his final night attending a Village Board meeting in person. He thanked Mr. Gaba for his years of service, legal guidance, and steady support, and expressed on behalf of the Board that they consider him not just legal counsel but a friend who has helped keep the Village on a sound path.

Mr. Gaba expressed his deep appreciation, stating that Warwick is a very special place and that it has been an absolute honor to represent the Village. He thanked the Board sincerely for the opportunity, sharing how grateful he was for his time serving the community. He added that some of his fondest memories have come from his time in Warwick.

Public Comment – Non-Agenda Items

No comments.

Final Comments from the Board

No comments.

Executive Session

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Collura, seconded by Trustee Cheney and carried to enter into Executive Session to seek confidential advice of counsel.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

In Executive Session: Mayor, Michael Newhard and Trustees Barry Cheney, Mary Collura, Thomas McKnight and Village Attorney, Stephen Gaba.

Exit Executive Session & Adjournment of the Regular Meeting

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Cheney, seconded by Trustee McKnight and carried to exit Executive Session, resume the regular meeting and adjourn the meeting at approximately 10:08 p.m.

The vote on the foregoing **motion** was as follows: **APPROVED**

Trustee Cheney Aye Trustee Foster Aye Trustee Collura Aye

Trustee McKnight Aye Mayor Newhard Aye

Raina M. Abramson, Village Clerk