

**BOARD OF TRUSTEES
VILLAGE OF WARWICK
JUNE 17, 2019**

8197

Work Session

The regular bi-monthly work session of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Warwick was held on Monday, June 17, 2019 at 7:00 p.m. in Village Hall, 77 Main Street, Warwick, NY. Present were: Mayor, Michael J. Newhard, Trustees: Barry Cheney, William Lindberg, Eileen Patterson and George McManus. Also, present were: Village Clerk, Raina Abramson, DPW Supervisor, Michael Moser, Village Attorney, Stephen Gaba. Others present: Kirk Rother.

Executive Session During Work Session

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee McManus, seconded by Trustee Lindberg and carried to go into Executive Session to seek the confidential advice of counsel. Five Ayes

In Executive Session:

Present: Trustees Barry Cheney, William Lindberg, Eileen Patterson, George McManus and Village Attorney, Stephen Gaba.

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee McManus, seconded by Trustee Lindberg and carried to exit Executive Session and enter into the Work Session. Five Ayes

Regular Meeting

The regular bi-monthly meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Warwick was held on Monday, June 17, 2019 at 7:30 p.m., in Village Hall, 77 Main Street, Warwick, NY. Present were: Mayor, Michael J. Newhard, Trustees: Barry Cheney, William Lindberg, Eileen Patterson and George McManus. Also, present were: Village Clerk, Raina Abramson, DPW Supervisor, Michael Moser, Village Attorney, Stephen Gaba. Others present; Joe Lawler, Lt. John Radar, Jim Gerstner, Kirk Rother, Elizabeth Knight, Raymond Maher, Melissa Shaw-Smith, Payton Swenson, Roger Moss, Polly Vanderkruik, Matias Rodriguez, Francisco Martinez, Alfredo Figueroa, and others.

The Mayor called the meeting to order and led in the Pledge of Allegiance.

The Clerk held the roll call and the Public Hearing Notice.

- 1. Public Hearing on the proposed Local Law No. 2 of the Year 2019 entitled: “A Local Law to amend Village Code Chapter 135 “Vehicles and Traffic,” Section 18 “Parking prohibited at all times in certain locations,” to restrict parking on certain streets to single side parking and Section 37 “Pavement Markings” to allow the Village Board to amend the list of highways with pavement markings by resolution.”**

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Village Board of the Village of Warwick will hold a public hearing on the 17th day of June, 2019, at 7:30 o'clock p.m., at Village Hall, 77 Main Street, Warwick, New York 10990, on a proposed Local Law No. 2 of the Year 2019 entitled: "A Local Law to amend Village Code Chapter 135 "Vehicles and Traffic," Section 18 "Parking prohibited at all times in certain locations," to restrict parking on certain streets to single side parking and Section 37 "Pavement Markings" to allow the Village Board to amend the list of highways with pavement markings by resolution."

The local law proposes to amend Sections 18 and 37 of Village Code Chapter 135 "Vehicles and Traffic" to restrict parking on Elm Street, Park Lane, and portions of Wheeler Avenue to a single side of the street to reduce congestion and improve visibility for the safety of residents and to allow the Village Board to amend the list of highways with pavement markings by resolution so that markings may be added and removed expeditiously for the safety of residents when necessary.

A copy of the proposed local law is on file in the office of the Village Clerk and available for inspection by interested persons during Village Clerk's business hours.

The Village Board will at the above date, time and place hear all persons interested in the subject matter hereof. Persons may appear in person or by agent. All written communications addressed to the Board must be received by the Board at or prior to the public hearing.

Mayor Newhard: So, we will open it up to the public if you'd like to make comments please state your name and the comments are to the Board. Bill if you want to explain what these changes are.

Trustee Lindberg: We have couple changes, Wheeler Avenue, there's a bend on Wheeler Avenue that constricts some traffic so we are going to have 'no parking signs' from probably one hundred feet or so, nobody can park on the inside of the curve, it's right over here on Wheeler. Two, on Elm Street and Park Lane we are going to be putting 'no parking' signs thirty feet to the corner so Elm Street will be thirty feet to West Street on both sides, no parking on either side of the street on the West Street side. And Park Lane, thirty feet from Parkway, so as you go into Park Lane there will be no parking thirty feet from the corner. And counsel wrote some language so the Village can mark streets whether they're red or blue or yellow striping, it will allow us to do that.

Mayor Newhard: Any comments? Comments from the Board? You vetted this pretty well.

Close Public Hearing – Village Code Chapter 135 “Vehicles and Traffic”

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee McManus, seconded by Trustee Cheney to close the Public Hearing on the proposed Local Law No. 2 of the Year 2019 entitled: “A Local Law to amend Village Code Chapter 135 “Vehicles and Traffic,” Section 18 “Parking prohibited at all times in certain locations,” to restrict parking on certain streets to single side parking and Section 37 “Pavement Markings” to allow the Village Board to amend the list of highways with pavement markings by resolution.” Five Ayes

Acceptance of Reports

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee McManus, seconded by Trustee Lindberg and carried to accept the reports - May 2019: Clerk's Office, Justice, Building, Planning Board & ZBA. Five Ayes

Authorization to Pay all Approved and Audited Bills

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee McManus, seconded by Trustee Patterson and carried to authorize the payment of all approved and audited bills in the amount of \$360,156.28. Five Ayes

Police Report

None at this time.

Presentation

1. Kirk Rother, Civil Engineer for Village View Estates presented a 'Reduced Scale Alternative Design' to the Village Board regarding Village View Estates.

Kirk Rother: Good evening everybody. Kirk Rother, Civil Engineer for Village View. The Board I'm sure is very familiar with the project. We have been around two years into the process of perusing a cluster subdivision plan on this property, twenty plus or minus acres on the corner of Woodside and Locust. We had gone through a Draft Environmental Impact Statement and review on a prior proposal which had forty-five single family lots. There was also an alternative to that proposal that included some affordable housing. Based on the feedback we got on the DEIS, from the public, and this Board, we were here for a workshop, we went back to the drawing board and made revisions to the plan. So, we are now back in front of the Planning Board with this plan that I have on the board here in front of you which we will be calling the 'Reduced Scale Alternative Plan'. The Planning Board has decided that they should pursue the SEQRA review of this plan using a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement, so we are basically, redoing the SEQRA process almost from scratch. I overheard what you had said a little bit, Mayor Newhard, with regards to process at the start of the meeting here and with the first time around we were probably eighteen months before we made a formal appearance before this Board. This time around we'd like to get your input much earlier in the process. I know you can't vote. I know it's not going to be anything formal, but we would just like your feedback. We don't see it would be a bad thing if you were involved in this process.

So, in this particular plan the biggest change is we eliminated the second crossing to Locust Street, which now puts the entire stream corridor in open space. It preserves all of the wetlands. There's zero disturbance to wetlands. Actually, this road was shifted over so there is no disturbance within one hundred feet of the wetlands. This plan also includes five two-family townhouse units, which are situated right here in this loop. There are forty-two dwelling units, as opposed to the forty-five on the original proposal for the forty-eight, it was called the 'Forty Affordable Housing Proposal'. With the elimination of the second road out to Locust and that stream crossing, we need to provide a second way into the property and that would be accomplished through a connection on adjacent land in the Town of Warwick. I believe the Board is aware that the applicant owns twenty plus or minus acres in the Village and roughly eighty acres in the Town. So, we propose a two-road connection out to Sleepy Valley, Locust turns into Sleepy Valley once it gets into the Town of Warwick.

We had a joint meeting with the Town of Warwick Planning Board, Supervisor Sweeton, and the Village of Warwick Planning Board regarding this process, this arrangement of roads, ownership, and how this is going to move through the SEQRA process. An application has been made to the Town of Warwick Planning Board for site plan review for this portion of the road

that lies within the Town. They also have a sketch, a conceptual sketch, of what could possibly be built on the Town property. That sketch shows twenty-five lots in a cluster subdivision arrangement also.

So, the whole purpose of tonight is really just to keep this Board abreast of where we're headed with this, to seek any feedback that you might have early in the process, and the other item that we had talked about the last time we were here and there wasn't any resolution on was the fee to be paid for each lot that is gained above and beyond the base lot count. With this plan there is a new development with regard to that fee, the question is should the fee be the same for a two-family dwelling unit as opposed to a single-family dwelling unit?

Mayor Newhard: The way the fee structure is, it is per unit, correct?

Kirk Rother: Currently, it is per dwelling unit. So, again just we would like to initiate dialogue on that. I don't expect an answer from you.

Stephen Gaba: Kirk, what is the 'as of right count for this development'?

Kirk Rother: For the as of right plan, we are using the plan that currently has a preliminary approval on it and that is twenty-eight lots.

Stephen Gaba: That's twenty-eight lots, so you are seeking what, fourteen bonus lots?

Kirk Rother: Correct.

Stephen Gaba: Or units.

Kirk Rother: Units. So, on this plan...

Stephen Gaba: On the prior plan, how many bonus units were you seeking?

Kirk Rother: Seventeen.

Stephen Gaba: Seventeen, so you're down three.

Kirk Rother: We are down three and, on this plan, the prior plan which we will call the forty-five-lot plan, they were all single family. Here we have ten two-family, five structures which is ten dwelling units that would be two-family and thirty-two that would be single family.

Trustee Patterson: So, are you calling those five units, those five lots, those ten homes affordable housing just by virtue of the size or is there another formula you are following? Or are you calling them affordable housing?

Kirk Rother: We are not calling them affordable housing.

Trustee Patterson: Ok.

Kirk Rother: We are not. There's a lot of discussion back and forth as to how on the older plan we would handle 'affordable housing' and whether it would fit a formula. I think the decision is that the market would dictate the price of those lots, so we are not going to try to fit any particular formula.

Trustee Patterson: Ok.

Trustee Cheney: You mentioned a meeting. Was that a public meeting with the Town, the Village and the...

Kirk Rother: No.

Trustee Cheney: So, who was in attendance at the meeting?

Kirk Rother: Dave Getz, Rob Dickover, and George Aulen, from the Village.

Trustee Cheney: Ok, so one Planning Board member was there. That was it.

Kirk Rother: Yes, just the chairman.

Trustee Cheney: And then the Town?

Kirk Rother: And then the same for the Town; Ben Astorino, Laura Barca, John Bolenbach, Mike Sweeton, and then the applicant and myself.

Trustee Cheney: I guess in looking at the ten units on the five lots and the fact that the cul-de-sac is going to be privately owned, it's not going to be dedicated to the Village, I have some concerns because you see a lot of times property like that, taxes aren't paid on it and it just languishes. Maintenance and upkeep would be the responsibility of I would assume those ten homeowners. It just would seem to be much simpler to have ten lots there and have those dwelling units in single ownership and also have the cull-da-sac be dedicated.

Kirk Rother: Ten lots, but as two-family units?

Trustee Cheney: Like a townhouse. Townhouses are split down the middle.

Kirk Rother: So, that is what this is proposed to be. So, each unit would be a townhouse and the townhouse owns the land that is under the building and then all of the rest of the property.

Trustee Cheney: Well, as opposed to splitting the lot down the middle. I thought that was...when I looked at the map that you'd given us.

Kirk Rother: I understand what you're saying Mr. Cheney, I just...we don't see in your code where you can do what you're asking us to do. You'll be effectively having fee simple lots with a zero-lot line on one-side. Right, if we're going to just say make a right of way around here then create a lot line here.

Trustee Cheney: Right, ok.

Kirk Rother: So, your code doesn't seem to allow that. If you find otherwise and that's what you desire, that's perfectly fine too.

Trustee Patterson: But, with the ZBA approval you could do that, right? If you did a lot line change. You're talking about not having the setback in between.

Kirk Rother: Yes, I suppose we could. The ZBA would have to grant a variance. Actually, maybe, I would have to reread the code, the Planning Board may even the power to grant waivers for some of the bulk requirements, I don't know.

Trustee Cheney: And the other thing that comes to mind immediately is that this arrangement only we've only removed three units from the previous plan and there were significant concerns about traffic and pedestrian safety. This doesn't really appreciably change those thresholds and I would assume that the Planning Board would pick that up in their considerations and deliberations relative to the Environmental Impact Statement. Those were definitely serious concerns.

Kirk Rother: So, the traffic study is being completely redone, reanalyzed to the same level as it was originally done, all the same intersections. Not only with this proposal, but we are including twenty-five additional homes that could be built in the Town in the traffic study. A comment this Board had had the last time I was here was related to your connective streets. You implied to have sidewalks on both sides. I have sidewalks on both sides of this plan.

So, the amount of open space on here is roughly 45% of the parcel, which is an increase of almost 10% of the prior plan. Now the way open space is defined in your code we shouldn't include areas allocated for stormwater management or grading. So, when I say 45% that's basically all that you see green here, green here, green here. I do have a pond, pond, some grading so as far as the definition of open space, it's around 35% when you subtract out the areas of the ponds.

Mayor Newhard: The last time that you showed us, you were making the comment that it was a 50% plan for open space.

Kirk Rother: Roughly, yes.

Mayor Newhard: And so now it would be...

Kirk Rother: 45% now that we've refined this with grading and more detailed layout.

Mayor Newhard: But the 45% includes catch basins, I mean storm water retention ponds.

Kirk Rother: For all intents and purposes as far as the land that's not going to be built on or have a structure on it or a road, it's 45% of the property, give or take.

Trustee Patterson: I seem to recall that the original approved site plan, which goes back I believe to 2007?

Kirk Rother: No, I think the approval was issued after that. I'm going to say 2011. I'll check.

Trustee Patterson: Ok, it doesn't matter. It very specifically did not allow access from Woodside.

Kirk Rother: That plan didn't propose access to Woodside, but there wasn't a specific engineering reason.

Trustee Patterson: Ok, but there was no access from Woodside, right?

Kirk Rother: That's correct. There was two proposed access points to Locust.

Trustee Patterson: Ok.

Kirk Rother: And two stream crossings. We actually secured the permits for those crossings.

Trustee Patterson: Why did you change that? Because you didn't want to...

Kirk Rother: Well, the cluster subdivision identifies the stream in a wetland as the primary conservation area. On this property there is only two things that hit the threshold of primary conservation per your code. It's the stream in the wetlands and slopes over 25% and there's some slopes over 25% in here. There's some over here. There's some over here. There's some in the middle here. And that's it, that's really what drove the land.

Trustee Patterson: Does that change the results of the traffic study? Or you have to do that again anyway?

Kirk Rother: So, the only thing that will change...

Trustee Patterson: Because it just adds in a major turn. You have turn from Locust onto Woodside and then again up until...instead of just going straight up Locust, Sleepy Valley, into this development, right?

Kirk Rother: On the 45-lot plan there was basically a connection out here.

Trustee Patterson: Right.

Mayor Newhard: And prior to that there was a connection down below.

Kirk Rother: Prior to that there was another connection right across here from Valley View. Well, the elimination of this was done partly because of comments we received from the public.

Mayor Newhard: In essence...

Trustee Patterson: The one on the top was removed as a result of comments from the public?

Kirk Rother: Yes.

Mayor Newhard: Because of the scale?

Kirk Rother: Crossing the wetlands. Preserving the primary conservation areas.

Trustee Patterson: Ok.

Mayor Newhard: The scale of that crossing was monumental.

Kirk Rother: It's a big fill. This would've been like a twenty, twenty-five-foot fill.

Trustee Patterson: It will be reviewed in the new traffic study.

Kirk Rother: It think it was one of their public hired consultants suggested eliminating this in a written letter. So, that's where we are.

Trustee Patterson: And you're not annexing any land from the Town from the lower portion.

Kirk Rother: So, that has come up but if you recall we have this odd shape sliver here. On the 45-lot plan I have a house proposed right here. There's a nice flat area for a house. An annexation petition has been submitted to both this Board and the Town Planning Board. The Town is not opposed to enter... Supervisor Sweeton has said he wouldn't be opposed to the annexation if the Village was in favor of it. That petition still remains in front of both Boards and it really makes no difference to us whether that's perfected or not. We are not proposing anything in that space other than this little piece of road crossing. If this gets annexed, it will probably all become open space. That's it. I just wanted to bring you guys up to speed.

Mayor Newhard: Thank you.

Kirk Rother: And keep in touch as we go through this process.

Mayor Newhard: Right, thank you. So, you were before the Planning Board at their last meeting?

Kirk Rother: Correct.

Mayor Newhard: And this is what you presented.

Kirk Rother: Right.

Mayor Newhard: And the environmental review process has begun?

Kirk Rother: So, they have to adopt the scoping documents. They couldn't do it at the last meeting because thirty days hadn't run since they notified other agencies, so I expect they will do that at the next meeting and then we will prepare the supplemental environmental impact statement. You folks will certainly get a copy of it. And I'm sure the Planning Board will have a public hearing on that document.

Trustee Patterson: Could I just clarify the question about the cluster fees? I was under the impression that we did answer that question. Are you asking us to change it again?

Kirk Rother: No, so we had made a request that it be analyzed and I believe the Village had a consultant comment and the fee is currently \$50,000 and I believe you had a resolution to maybe lower it to \$40,000 but you didn't act on that resolution so my understanding is that it is still \$50,000 but with these two-families on that plan that we had two-families shown before, they would've been affordable housing, fitting an affordable housing formula so I believe the consensus with everybody on that plan is it wouldn't make sense to charge a fee on something that is trying to be built as affordable housing and fitting the affordable housing formula. These would be market rate two-family units.

Trustee Patterson: It was whose consensus was that, I'm not following you?

Kirk Rother: I was under the impression that if we were providing affordable housing that meets an affordable housing formula, which says you can't sell it for any more than this, that that per lot fee wasn't going to apply. I think we spoke about that.

Mayor Newhard: I don't know. I think there was maybe some back and forth discussion but there was never any consensus.

Kirk Rother: Maybe consensus isn't the right word but it's the impression that I had.

Trustee Patterson: There's a big difference between impression and consensus.

Kirk Rother: Either way, now we are dealing with market rate two-family units.

Trustee Patterson: Right, ok.

Kirk Rother: So, now does \$40,000 or \$50,000 or whatever the number is which should be the same for a single family versus a two-family is really the question that is on the table.

Raymond Maher: Per lot.

Mayor Newhard: Ok.

Kirk Rother: Per dwelling unit.

Trustee Patterson: It's per dwelling unit.

Kirk Rother: Your code defines it as per dwelling unit.

Mayor Newhard: Right.

Trustee Patterson: So, if it's one lot with two dwelling units on it, so extensively that would be \$80,000 per lot for this five or four.

Kirk Rother: So, this building, this one building...

Mayor Newhard: Right not it is \$100,000.

Stephen Gaba: Well, lot doesn't have anything to do with it. It's per dwelling unit.

Trustee Patterson: I know, I'm just using the terms that Mr. Rother is using. There's two units on one lot.

Stephen Gaba: Technically, but the lot really doesn't factor into it. That's just coincidental.

Kirk Rother: It's per dwelling unit.

Trustee Patterson: I know, I'm just using the term, so it's per unit so it would for those lots that have two units on them, it would be either \$80,000 or \$100,000 fees. Ok.

Kirk Rother: So, this one building that has two dwelling units would be \$80,000 or \$100,000.

Trustee Patterson: Ok.

Kirk Rother: Versus this building would be half that. And in the interest of trying to make this a more marketable unit at a lower sale price, it's just a question we have whether it makes sense. On our end it doesn't seem to make sense that we'd have a \$50,000 fee on a two-family unit that we are trying to see for maybe \$150,000 less than a single-family unit.

Trustee Patterson: Well, I'm a fan of affordable housing. I'm a fan of workforce housing and any opportunity that we have...

Kirk Rother: I'm finished.

Mayor Newhard: Ok. Thank you very much. I appreciate it.

Announcement

1. 2019 Village of Warwick Summer Recreation Programs – Registration will take place on Monday, June 24th and Tuesday, June 25th from 6:00 p.m. to 7:30 p.m. at the Warwick High School Cafeteria. Programs run from July 1, 2019 through August 2, 2019. All programs are closed on Thursday, July 4th and Friday, July 5th for the July 4th holiday.
2. First Annual Family Day Bicycle Rodeo and Fun Field Races will take place at the Warwick Valley Community Center on Sunday, June 23, 2019 from 12:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m. with a rain date of Sunday, June 30th. The Bicycle Parade will begin on the corner of Campbell Road and Welling Avenue with participants gathering at 11:30 a.m. This fundraiser will include a bicycle rodeo with an obstacle course and bicycle maintenance station along with multiple races and games for children ages three years through adult.

Correspondence

1. Play By Design - Revised Certificate of Substantial Completion for Stanley-Deming Playground.
2. Michael Vernieri - Village Accountant. Annual Justice Court Audit.
3. Elizabeth K. Cassidy, PLLC. Village View Subdivision Public Comment.

Privilege of the Floor

(Please limit your comments to **five (5)** minutes. If reading a document, please submit a copy to the Clerk. Please note all remarks must be addressed to the Board as a body and not to individual Board members. Please state your name clearly before speaking). These rules are taken from the Handbook for Village Officials – New York State Conference of Mayors and Municipal Officials.

Raymond Maher: Hi, I'm Raymond Maher and I live on 52 Woodside Drive. I have several questions and problems. Last Tuesday night at the Planning Board, how long does this last? This Village View has been going on for eleven years now. Is there a statute of limitations? Do I have to tell my grandchildren to keep an eye on this? I mean, it just seems every night at 8:00 p.m. we have to go to the Village Board. Is there something that can be done about this? This is incessant, I mean it's just ridiculous. In eleven, ten years, things change. Communities change, environments change, it just seems ridiculous that this could just go on indefinitely.

Mayor Newhard: Well, obviously over that period of time we had a great recession.

Raymond Maher: Yes.

Mayor Newhard: And yes, things have changed, and the developer has come back with a very different plan and so, that is what is being looked at and being entertained.

Raymond Maher: At the Planning Board the other night this new plan was called a 'new plan'. This is the fourth variation of this plan. The impression of the people that live in our community is like this is a shell game. Whenever they get caught, they just slide things around. They got caught building on a twenty-five percent grade. They got caught building too close to wetlands. They slide things around. They got caught recently about having too many units. They reduced the units. Then they slide things around. This clustering is a privilege. This is just a money grab. How much money and how many homes can we fit? It's just too many houses for the property. It doesn't fit in with the community. There aren't any other two-family houses in that part of the Village. The clustering is like, ok, they want to be a good citizen and they said, ok, we're going to make land available. And I thought the original part of the clustering is that the land would be open to the community. What did they do? They make a homeowner's association. Nobody can go on that property. They got caught with too many units, two-family homes, and they reduced them and what do they do? They cut out affordable housing. At the Planning Board they said market value. Alright, market value, I'll go buy one because there won't be anything in the deed saying that I can't sell it for top dollar. And doesn't affordable housing, shouldn't it be given out to people like firemen, ambulance, people in the community.

Mayor Newhard: That's the spirit of it, yes.

Raymond Maher: That's the spirit. This is not a good neighbor. They are in it for the money. Rockland County is out of land. This developer is from Rockland County. They want to do to Warwick what they did to Rockland County. There is going to be influx of these developers and this has to be done correctly because we haven't seen the end of it. And my third point is, you people must be special because you were able to see what Kirk was talking about and you were able to hear what he said. At the Planning Board, people in the room, all we saw was back of heads. We couldn't even see the diagram. We couldn't hear. I went up and stood and I got the evil eye. I went to one of the Planning Board Members, I go, we have a right to see this and we have a right to hear. And the response that I got was you're blocking the camera and I can't make them talk any louder. This process with the Planning Board, we can't hear and by the time we get the minutes, it's a month later, they have to be approved by the Board. We are a month behind. A lot of this stuff is time critical. We're a month behind with time. Even on TV you can't really understand, and you can't see. You get the impression that we are an inconvenience to the Planning Board. We can't speak, we can't see, we can't hear. The developer gets unlimited time to discuss. When we do get the time to talk, we get five minutes. We brought in engineers and lawyers, we got five minutes. At the one meeting there was such an uproar from the crowd that they were forced to hear somebody speak longer. And the other thing is, money spent. I commend the volunteers of the Planning Board. They are volunteers. This may not be their

primary base of knowledge. Look we've had to catch so many things that were wrong like Elizabeth Cassidy catching that there were too many townhouses. I have a gripe with the engineer and the lawyer. We shouldn't have to spend tens of thousands of dollars to do...the Village Board is supposed to protect everybody. I'm into this for a lot of money and I just see this as a land grab. The original twenty-eight lots, I've been through this for the last ten, eleven years. Alright, we got a vacation because we had a recession, but the original twenty-eight homes, it was said and I'd like to find that, the second crossing was so cost prohibitive, that's one of the reasons other than recession that they backed out. That second crossing was going to be so expensive that they had to come up with a different variation. Now, they re-did the DEIS and we had public comments and apparently a lot of them were right because this version...no building on the twenty-five percent, fifteen, no where near the wetlands. But there are still things that, like, how do you put a retention pond in the wetland? Because if you look, you have this picture, he's going to dig up the wetlands to put a retention pond there. And what happens when you dig in a wetland? It fills up with water. So, I don't know how this is a retention pond or frog pond? We are very frustrated. And they won't tell us when the traffic study will be done. It's very important because if you do the traffic study in the summer, it's very different from the traffic study in the fall. Teachers go through there. Students go through there. Buses go through there. It's different, so, when is the traffic study going to be done? The Board's work hard, but we are just frustrated.

Mayor Newhard: I understand.

Raymond Maher: This is just how can I make the most money and I don't give a damn about the community. Thank you.

Mayor Newhard: Thank you. Anyone else.

Melissa Shaw-Smith: Yes, hello. Melissa Shaw-Smith from Wickham Works. I'd like to just take a couple of minutes to thank the Village very much for helping to make a success of our Earth Fest Warwick, back at the beginning of May. It was obviously, we had some slightly unusual circumstances in that the park, Stanley-Deming Park was under construction but the DPW were fantastic. Members of the Board were fantastic in coming out and helping us get it ready and I think it was a very big success. We had in excess of five hundred people on the first day, Saturday. And I'm just going to speak briefly about Tree Cycle because that's the part I am the most involved with. The one point I want to make in addition to the artists, the nine artists that we had there displaying their tree sculptures, the live music from our local musicians, the performances from our local performers, local vendors, environmentally sustainable businesses that were able to showcase what they do and obviously all the kids came out from the schools that had been involved. That was the day, but it was just the tip of the iceberg. We started seven weeks before with a program in middle school called 'The Change Makers Club'. We went in every week with educators and artists and we worked with a group of up to thirty middle graders

and they got to make their own projects focusing on e-waste and using recyclable materials. At the end of the seven weeks they went down to the elementary school and they went into five different classrooms and they showed the younger kids what they had learned and those kids then came out to Tree Cycle. That was one group. We had six weeks of an open door 'Makers Lab' at the Warwick Community Center. That was open to everybody. We had preschoolers through seniors come in and work with us to make wonderful donated fabric picnic blankets, we created an enormous cardboard maze and miniature city. We used lots of recyclables in what we do, we had anywhere from fifteen to thirty people through the door every week. We also worked with the two green cub clubs, the elementary schools – Park Avenue and Sandfordville. We took our artists in there and they did hands on projects with the kids there. Those kids also came out to Tree Cycle to show off what they had learned and what they had done. We worked with the library teen group. We worked with the Community Center teen group and we worked with the Chosun Leadership Team, so, when I say tip of the iceberg, we worked for easily two months with a large portion of the youth and the students in this community and homeschoolers. We really want to commend the Board and the Village for giving us that support and allowing us to do this and I'm just going to briefly hand it over to Elizabeth Knight who is responsible for the Too Good to Toss part of Earthfest.

Mayor Newhard: Hi Elizabeth. Thank you, Melissa.

Elizabeth Knight: I would like to formally thank the Board for the decision to support the cost of half of the tent and tables so that we could hold Too Good to Toss again for it's third year. Bill you were right, we needed more tents. We especially needed it because if you remember the weather that weekend, it was bad all weekend. It rained the entire day Sunday and by the end of it everybody was slip sliding away in the mud and the thing that was the overriding experience was, Mr. Mayor you and I were talking about it, the pride event was...it's a feeling of belonging. It's a feeling of sharing stuff that didn't and shouldn't end up in the curb for the Village pickup was organized in a way that made it easy to share stuff, easy to drop off. We had more help then we had before and Payton is going to talk about that, but the overwhelming thing was, as you and I were talking about, every year we are asked well why don't you charge at least \$2.00 when people line up outside, they'd be happy to pay to get in. I'm sure that many of them would, but not everybody could, and the point is, it's not about making money, it's about giving and we are saving money because stuff that's useful and in good shape, because we are very careful about what we would and would not accept, does not get kicked to the curb. It's rather, processed, checked in by cheerful volunteers who had a list of what we could and could not take that gets refined every year by what either the Salvation Army or the year previously, The Big Brother and Big Sister said that they could and wouldn't take. That saves the trouble of trucking it someplace else for the most part. We also had lists of, if we can't take it, where can you take it. This year we did not accept computer goods, old phones, faxes and the rest of it because they were left to be carried away by Mr. Moser's crew the year before. This time we just simply said

take it to 'The Computer Guy' and I checked ahead with what they were happy to have, and they can resell it. So, it's a win win for everybody and also if you remember Mr. Mayor when we made the report the first year, 2017, and you talked about the fact that every single bicycle, tricycle, peddled whatever that came in was picked up and given to new hands and you said you need to go to the police department and see if they've got abandoned bikes and if those can be refurbished. This year we had two men who volunteer for the Repair Café to do bike repairs, one of whom has grown up in Warwick. The other gentleman had his own bicycle repair shop in Manhattan for fifty years. They worked to check over all the bikes that were brought in to make sure that they were road worthy and then Rich White, again, refurbished bikes, fixed the mechanicalized parts for things that weren't any good that we collected from the police department, tuned up the ones from the police department and he's now donated over thirty bikes to people who would've never had one and they didn't end up on the sidewalks.

Mayor Newhard: That's great.

Trustee Patterson: That's amazing.

Elizabeth Knight: So, that's what this is about. Giving not taking. Thank you. I'm going to turn it over the Payton who did a wonderful job. As she says, it's not in the spirit of the event to take, it's about giving.

Mayor Newhard: Thank you.

Payton Swenson: Hi, I'm Payton Swenson and I've been a resident of Warwick for four years this month. So, Elizabeth didn't mention that in the first hour and a half that our doors were open we saw about four hundred people. The line wasn't very built up because as she said it was pouring all day, so we were very grateful for those tents and for the tables to make sure that things were not on the ground getting soaked because you know, water has to go somewhere. It doesn't rain on top; it just goes under. So, we had four hundred people in the first hour and thirty minutes and then we just opened up the gate and stopped counting. We estimated that it was about six hundred people that came in to take stuff away. We have a volunteer coordinator this year by the name of Samantha Scalpon. She did a fantastic job and together we got together previous year's volunteers and a bunch of people I never met before; I think we had about over a hundred volunteers over the weekend. There was one couple actually that had come the year before and didn't like that we had taken over the park and they actually came back to help out this year. They were there for every pre-meeting, every post-meeting and everything in between. We also had a number of people show up just to find out what was happening and then they ended up staying all day Friday, all day Saturday, all day Sunday and then even showing up on Monday to find out if we needed any extra hands, so, for me this has just been a tremendous exercise in joy with my community and I've had so much fun and I got to meet so many cool and interesting people and I just love hearing stories about Melissa's Tibetan prayer flags ending up

with such and such person, and just the way that those lines would never have crossed if these kinds of things weren't available to the community so thank you.

Mayor Newhard: Thank you Payton. Thank you very much. Anyone else?

Roger Moss: My name is Roger Moss and I am also very grateful for the support of the Village. I wanted to I guess add on to what was said already and sort ask you to step back two to three steps from the good news of what the Too Good to Toss and Tree Cycle events have done for the last three years and think about the benefits to the municipality. As a Climate Smart Community, the municipality gets points for these kinds of activities. These are very important points. The Climate Smart Community's endeavor allows you to participate in the CFA the county puts together. Asking for grant funding for precisely the sorts of things that you've just heard talked about; community building, environmental impact, and I guess the collaboration of both artistic and environmental effort. So, I'd like to appeal to you this year to think about the ways in which the Village in collaboration with the Town and the County could qualify for grants for doing nothing different then what we're doing but to get grant money from the State to expand the program and perhaps to weave together a little bit more the environmental artistic really have married very well over the last three years. I really think it would be less effective if there were one of them and not the other. I'd also like to leave you with this final thought. The first year and the second year Too Good to Toss was sponsored by Sustainable Warwick. This year it was sponsored by Wickham Works. The Repair Café, which we haven't talked about tonight but I'm sure you're all aware of the Repair Café's activities, the Repair Café continues to be supported by Sustainable Warwick so you have a bunch of volunteers and very well established organizations that could carry this forward for the next two years, three years, four years, five years. This is not a flash in the pan where people who were responsible for these events are going to be leaving. So, please consider joining us together to help in drafting what would be a very effective and I think a very popular CFA proposal. We have another month and a half. July 20th is the deadline for CFA grants for this year. We would like to talk with you before that.

Mayor Newhard: Well, this is very timely because tomorrow morning I have a meeting with our grant writer so it will be on the agenda.

Roger Moss: Ok, thank you.

Elizabeth Moss: I'd like to add one other thing to that, Ermin Siljkovic who is the County Recycling Coordinator came to make a presentation to Sustainable Warwick about, and to the general public and he's been taking it around to other towns to talk about the fact that recycling is changing. It's not as cost effective. It's a lot more work both on the part of the municipality and the taxpayers and he actually called out Too Good to Toss, the Repair Café and Recyclopedia's efforts that contributed to solving the problem of what do we do with our stuff because away is never away. Fix it, reuse it, recycle it by giving it to your neighbor.

Mayor Newhard: Very good. Thank you. Anyone else this evening?

Polly Vanderkruik: I'm going to bring it back a minute to Ray's comments on Village View.

Mayor Newhard: That's fine.

Polly Vanderkruik: I'm Polly Vanderkruik, 13 Locust Street. Really piggy-backing on what Ray said, you cannot open a local newspaper, the Times Herald Record, without seeing a story about a developer who went in, following codes, doing all of this and everything is right on paper but they get in there and they do what they want to do because paying the fine is part of the cost of doing business. Whether it's run off in Lego Land or taking trees to put in Yesterday's; oops, sorry here's the fine. I am really concerned about that. Not just for us and not just for Village View but especially for Village View because I live right up the street. It's getting harder and harder every day to back out of my driveway. I'm going to have to put in a turn around. I can't imagine in the morning what it's going to be like with, maybe it won't be a hundred cars, but it's not going to be forty cars coming out of that and heading into the Village on Woodside or more likely out of the Village down Locust. Now we are going to have to have a light there because the traffic is going to be just impossible. Now you are changing again the flavor of the neighborhood. And I also want to talk about what Ray said about the Planning Board. You cannot imagine how frustrating it is to sit at one of those meetings. We are treated like we are dirt, like we are bothersome, like we don't matter, and that they just wish we would go home. And whether that's their intention or not, pretty much that's what everybody is feeling so, I just really wanted to let Ray know that he's not alone in a lot of his thoughts. Thank you.

Mayor Newhard: Thank you. It's very disappointing to hear that comment, particularly, because the Planning Board is a part of our government and we anticipate them to be as much of an ambassador and a place where there is a relationship with the community so, I'm sorry to hear that. But, as far as the Planning Board process goes which is through New York State, the SEQRA process, we do have to look at that and ultimately hope and have faith that that will be done correctly. And what happens after that in terms of how it's watched and what is being done, again, we hope we can put things in order so that it can be watched very carefully if something is being built. The other thing though of course, during this process you do not have public comments at the Planning Board stage at this point, but you are seeing things, you're hearing things, and I know you have legal counsel to write letters but you're comments at this meeting, your letters to the Planning Board or to our Board, cc both Boards, are very important because those are the things that set the tone, that make changes, that also make someone like the Planning Department or the Building Department much more keenly aware of what you are seeing and what you see is lacking so I urge everyone in this room who has a comment to make that comment. Make your voice loud and clear through a written comment. It doesn't have to be enormous. It can be an email. But those things do make a difference, I truly believe that.

Raymond Maher: But with the Planning Board, the Village Board - you post the letters that you receive. I still don't know if Elizabeth Cassidy...it's not posted. They don't post the letters and if the public wanted to go in and look and see what the comments are, it seems like a secret society or something. These are public documents, why does the Village Board post the letters, but the Planning Board doesn't? I've seen the letter from Cassidy, but I haven't at the Planning Board.

Mayor Newhard: I don't know. Do you have any...

Stephen Gaba: Well, it's discretionary in terms of what they post outside of the SEQRA materials. So, Planning Board policy.

Mayor Newhard: Ok. Thank you.

Raymond Maher: Another thing with the traffic, I know the government has numbers that they use, and these are four-bedroom homes and I think what I'm hearing is like one car per house. A four-bedroom home is going to have a husband and wife that work, two cars, children. We're talking three to four cars. We're talking not...I don't know what the traffic study said, it was in the forties or something like that.

Mayor Newhard: That's a point taken. Take that nugget of information and pass it on to Planning Board members and say, 'What's this all about?' Question it and put that question in form to them.

Raymond Maher: I think it has.

Mayor Newhard: Well, then that's good. That's appropriate. That's very good.

Trustee Patterson: Ray, just to be clear, the plan that we were given tonight it is three-bedroom homes. I think that's...

Raymond Maher: I think it was four originally.

Trustee Patterson: Ok, well this latest one is three.

Raymond Maher: Ok, thank you.

Mayor Newhard: Ok, anyone else? Yes, sir.

Matias Rodriguez: My name is Matias Rodriguez. We are here again just to listen about the municipal ID's. Alfredo Pacheco is not here today but most of my community, we are here. So, I think most every single person, said thank you - letters from my job, family. It's up to you, you could read it and they say how proud they feel to have some identification.

Mayor Newhard: Ok, thank you. We will make sure everybody gets a copy too.

Matias Rodriguez: Thank you.

Francisco Martinez: Hi, my name is Francisco and I have a couple more letters too.

Mayor Newhard: Ok, wow. Thank you.

Alfredo Figueroa: Alfredo Figueroa, and I have some letters from my community to express how important it is to get an ID.

Trustee Patterson: Thank you.

Mayor Newhard: Thank you. Ok, anyone else?

Trustee Patterson: The municipal ID, are we asking Mr. Gaba to review it?

Mayor Newhard: We are, yes. Just for you guys to know that our legal counsel is actually comparing the municipal ID programs in multiple municipalities and we're looking at that or will be looking at that. So, you've given us some information.

Stephen Gaba: I've provided a memo on that, yes. If you need anything further, let me know.

Mayor Newhard: Ok. So, we are working towards it.

Alfredo Figueroa: Ok.

Mayor Newhard: Ok, thank you.

Motions

WHEREAS, the Village Board of the Village of Warwick has received a request from Arkadiusz Kwapinski and Sylwia Kubasiak to enter into an Outside User Agreement to provide municipal central water service to certain real property located at 11 Hathorn Road, Warwick, New York 10990, which is designated on the tax map as SBL 52 - 1- 5.1 and which is located outside of the boundaries of the Village; and

WHEREAS, a proposed Outside User Agreement has been prepared, a copy of which is attached hereto, and

WHEREAS, the Village Board is willing to enter into the said Outside User Agreement based on the terms and conditions set forth therein;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:

1. That the grant of an outside user agreement constitutes a Type II action under the State Environmental Quality Review Act; and
2. That the Village Board hereby agrees to enter into the annexed Outside User Agreement, conditioned upon payment of the required Water Service Application fee as well as all other applicable fees and compliance with the terms and conditions recited in the Outside User Agreement; and
3. That Arkadiusz Kwapinski and Sylwia Kubasiak, shall submit a TP-584 form and shall be responsible for payment of all fees necessary for recording of the Outside User Agreement in the Office of the County Clerk; and
4. That the Village Board hereby authorizes the Mayor to execute the Outside User Agreement and all documents necessary to effectuate the terms therein.

Trustee Cheney presented the foregoing resolution, which was seconded by Trustee Lindberg,

The vote on the foregoing resolution was as follows:

Barry Cheney, Trustee, voting	Aye
William Lindberg, Trustee, voting	Aye

Eileen Patterson, Trustee, voting Aye

George McManus, Trustee, voting Aye

Michael Newhard, Mayor, voting Aye

Discussion Prior to Vote

Trustee McManus: I have a question, what's a TP-584?

Stephen Gaba: A TP-584 is a recording form required by the County. It shows whether there is any consideration paid for the agreement and the proper parties involved in the underlying transaction.

Trustee McManus: Ok, thank you.

Return of Planning Board Escrow – Warwick Valley BBA, LLC

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Cheney, seconded by Trustee Lindberg and carried to return the Planning Board escrow balance of \$12.50 to Warwick Valley BBA, LLC for the Solar Site Plan Approval located at 25 Church Street. All invoices have been paid as per the email from Village Engineer, Dave Getz and Planning Board Attorney, Robert Dickover. Five Ayes

Return of Planning Board Escrow – JLV Properties / Laviano & Sons

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Cheney, seconded by Trustee Patterson and carried to return the Planning Board escrow balance of \$1,540.00 to JLV Properties / Laviano & Sons for Site Plan Approval of 10 Colonial Avenue. All invoices have been paid as per the email from Village Engineer, Dave Getz and Planning Board Attorney, Robert Dickover. Five Ayes

RESOLUTION ACCEPTING DEDICATION OF WARWICK PLAYGROUND OF DREAMS

WHEREAS, heretofore the Village of Warwick accepted an Irrevocable Offer Of Dedication from Warwick Playground Dreams ("WPD") for replacement of the playground equipment in Stanley-Deming Park; and

WHEREAS, WPD has completed the work and provided the materials under the said Offer Of Dedication, and a Certificate of Substantial Completion has been received; and

WHEREAS, the Village of Warwick is satisfied that the work and materials provided by WFD complies with the said Offer Of Dedication;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:

1. That the Village Board hereby determines that the work performed, and the equipment installed in Stanley-Deming Park substantially complies with the approved plans and specifications; and

2. That the Village Board accepts dedication of the work and materials provided by Warwick Playground Dreams for the replacement of the playground equipment in Stanley-Deming Park; and

3. On behalf of the Village of Warwick, the Village Board extends its thanks to Warwick Playground Dreams for this project.

Trustee Lindberg presented the foregoing resolution, which was seconded by Trustee Cheney,

The vote on the foregoing resolution was as follows:

Barry Cheney, Trustee, voting	Aye
William Lindberg, Trustee, voting	Aye
Eileen Patterson, Trustee, voting	Aye
George McManus, Trustee, voting	Aye
Michael Newhard, Mayor, voting	Aye

RESOLUTION ADOPTING VILLAGE OF WARWICK LOCAL LAW NO. 2 OF 2019

WHEREAS, the Village Board has before it a proposed local law amending Village Code Chapter 135 entitled "Vehicles and Traffic" to impose parking restrictions on Elm Street, Park Lane, and Wheeler Avenue and to allow the Village Board to amend the list of highways with pavement markings by resolution; and

WHEREAS, following due notice the Village Board held a public hearing on the proposed local law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:

1. That this is Type II Action under SEQRA;
2. That the Village Board hereby adopts the proposed local law amending Village Code Chapter 135 entitled "Vehicles and Traffic";
3. That the said local law shall be effective upon publishing, posting and filing the same in the Office of the Secretary of State in Albany.

Trustee Lindberg presented the foregoing resolution, which was seconded by

Trustee Patterson,

The vote on the foregoing resolution was as follows:

Barry Cheney, Trustee, voting	Aye
William Lindberg, Trustee, voting	Aye
Eileen Patterson, Trustee, voting	Aye
George McManus, Trustee, voting	Aye
Michael Newhard, Mayor, voting	Aye

RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE "PROTECT OUR COURTS ACT" (A.2176 / S.425)

WHEREAS, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE") is tasked with, among other law enforcement activities, apprehending undocumented immigrants; and

WHEREAS, ICE currently apprehends individuals in all venues and locations, including in and around federal, state and local courthouses; and

WHEREAS, apprehending individuals alleged by ICE to be undocumented immigrants while such individuals are appearing at or attending civil or criminal proceedings in a courthouse could adversely impact the operation of the justice system in the United States by deterring people from attending court as litigants, crime victims, or witnesses, out of fear of being apprehended and/or mistakenly apprehended by ICE; and

WHEREAS, the benefits of ICE be able to apprehend individuals in courthouses are outweighed by the aforesaid adverse impacts that such activity will have on our justice system; and

WHEREAS, this is a matter of interest to the Village of Warwick as the Village maintains a local justice court and supports all of its residents; and

WHEREAS, the New York State Legislature currently has pending before it the proposed "Protect Our Courts Act" (A.2176/S.425), which would address the problem of ICE apprehending individuals in courthouses by exempting people from civil arrest by ICE while going to, remaining at, or returning from court proceedings.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:

1. That, on behalf of the Village of Warwick, the Village Board hereby expresses its support for the proposed "Protect Our Courts Act" (A.2176/S.425); and

2. That certified copies of this resolution shall be sent to the New York State

Senator Jen Metzger and State Assemblymen Karl Brabenec. – **TABLED**

Discussion Prior to Reading the Motion

Trustee Patterson: I would like to table this motion until such time as we've had an opportunity to review it more. I didn't see that this...I saw the first variation, but I didn't see this before, so I'd like to look at it more closely.

Mayor Newhard: I have no problem with that.

Trustee Cheney: I would too.

Mayor Newhard: Yes, I figured that so much, but we wanted to get it out there so at least we could start the discussion so good.

Trustee Cheney: Some of the research that I did for the Board's information in April I believe it was the Office of Court Administration basically directed the Courts to provide that kind of safety that's looked for in the Assembly and Senate bills to individuals who are dealing with the Courts unless there's a judicial order against them or a court order.

Mayor Newhard: Ok.

Village of Warwick Justice Court Internal Audit – May 31, 2018

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Patterson, seconded by Trustee Lindberg and carried to acknowledge that Michael Vernieri, Auditor for the Village of Warwick, performed an internal audit of the Village of Warwick Justice Court as of May 31, 2018 and has found that all reporting and recording is being completed in a timely manner. The reports are in compliance with the New York State requirements and the fines that have been collected have been turned over to the proper officials of the Village. Five Ayes

Pricing Addendum to the Screening Service Agreement for Background Checks - JDP

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Patterson, seconded by Trustee McManus and carried to accept the pricing addendum to the Screening Service Agreement with JD Palatine (JDP) dated April 1, 2019 and authorize the Mayor to sign the same for background check services. Five Ayes

Discussion Prior to Vote

Mayor Newhard: And Raina, do you want to make a comment just to...Raina was really great and kind of going to bat for the Village and determining how this could work best for us considering our size and the review process and so right now if we go forward with this we will be doing these

background checks if there is any indication that there is something awry or that there would be a red flag, then we have the ability to move forward and do a much more extensive check so that was not part of the plan that was offered to us, but through a long conversation with the head of this company they've customized it for us.

Trustee Patterson: That's great. Good job, Raina.

Raina Abramson: Thank you very much.

Trustee McManus: And they will be done before the rec program starts?

Raina Abramson: So, twenty-eight of the background checks have been completed but that's when that twenty-eight...all forty-one were sent out for those that were over the age of eighteen but twenty-eight of them completed their checks right away and when I started to see the checks come back I noticed that there were these \$95 charges that could even be greater on each person depending on their developed name meaning their married name, their maiden name, a divorced name all of them, the prices could really be raised. So, we stopped everything and took back the remaining number and there are thirteen more that need to be background checked, until we were able to develop a plan that worked for the Village. So, like the Mayor was saying no what we are able to do is keep the cost to \$32.25 as was my full understanding to begin with and then if there is a hit on, if someone has three different last names, if they have a hit on one of those names, we will be alerted, the employee or proposed employee would also be alerted with a letter stating that something has come up and then the Village has the right to investigate further. There will be an \$8 charge and then another \$95 charge to search all of New York State to find out what that crime was or how whatever it was, was resolved.

Trustee Patterson: But there's stops along the way.

Raina Abramson: That's correct.

Trustee Patterson: Ok.

Raina Abramson: Really, again we weren't aware that these charges could just rack up. It could be several hundred dollars per person, but now we have control of that. So, if the Board does approve this addendum this evening, we can move forward with the thirteen and they would all be background checked prior to the start of the rec.

Trustee McManus: That's all you need to say.

Raina Abramson: Ok.

Trustee Patterson: So, yes. Raina that was great to have that information.

Trustee McManus: Thank you Raina.

Raina Abramson: You're welcome.

50 Orchard Street – Payment of 2019-2020 Village of Warwick Tax Bill

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Patterson, seconded by Trustee Cheney and carried to pay the 2019-2020 Village of Warwick tax bill for 50 Orchard Street, Warwick, NY Section Block Lot 210-11-2 in the amount of \$191.85 in accordance with the Contract of Sale between the Village of Warwick and Housing Trust Fund Corporation. Five Ayes

Discussion Prior to Vote

Mayor Newhard: Any comment counsel?

Stephen Gaba: You're going to get the money back at closing.

Mayor Newhard: Yes.

Trustee Patterson: Oh, ok.

Stephen Gaba: This is just to make sure there's no penalties or interest. We just went around the horn clearing all of those so, pay this now and you're going to be good to go.

Trustee Patterson: Great.

Stephen Gaba: And like I said, you get the money back.

Mayor Newhard: Yes, and we are paying ourselves anyway.

Stephen Gaba: Well, yes on a property you don't own yet, so that's why you're getting it back.

Mayor Newhard: Ok.

Break the Stigma Event – Amend Walk Route & Allow Food Sales

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Patterson, seconded by Trustee McManus to amend the motion made on April 29, 2019 granting permission to the Warwick Valley Coalition to hold the annual Break the Stigma walk on Sunday, July 28, 2019 beginning at 12:00 p.m. The route is amended as follows: Stanley-Deming Park, South Street, left on Main Street, left on Bank Street, right on South Street, returning to Stanley-Deming Park per their attached letter and map dated June 17, 2019. The request is also amended to grant permission to allow the Warwick Valley Coalition to sell food during the event. Proof of proper insurance has been received. **AMENDED**

Discussion Prior to Vote

Trustee Cheney: I'd like to propose an amendment. I'd like to strike, in the second line the word annual. I think it infers that this is something that we are giving permission to more than just this year, so I suggest that we make that amendment.

Trustee Patterson: Either way it's fine by me so I'll seconded it as amended.

Trustee McManus: The walk has been modified due to the bridge construction on Main Street during that time period.

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Cheney, seconded by Trustee Patterson and carried to amend the motion made on April 29, 2019 granting permission to the Warwick Valley Coalition to hold the Break the Stigma walk on Sunday, July 28, 2019 beginning at 12:00 p.m. The route is amended as follows: Stanley-Deming Park, South Street, left on Main Street, left on Bank Street, right on South Street, returning to Stanley-Deming Park per their attached letter and map dated June 17, 2019. The request is also amended to grant permission to allow the Warwick Valley Coalition to sell food during the event. Proof of proper insurance has been received. Five Ayes

Reports

Trustee Lindberg's Report:

The Warwick Fireman's Carnival is coming up in a couple weeks. It starts Wednesday, June 26th and goes through Saturday, June 29th. There will be wristbands each night for sale, which is a great deal. Fireworks will begin around 10:00 p.m. on Saturday the 29th and the rain date for the fireworks will be the next day, Sunday the 30th.

Trustee Patterson's Report:

Michael and I attended the ceremonial kickoff of the construction that is going to start to happen in the coming months, internal construction in the radiation department at the hospital. I had asked the Building Inspector if he heard about it yet, and he said no, but that's because they're just at the bid opening process so while it was a kickoff they weren't actually getting started yet.

And the other interesting thing that we learned at the meeting, people or starting hear or have heard often that the Empire Blue Cross/Blue Shield plan is sort of up in the air as to whether it's going to continue to be accepted because they cannot come to terms with the management of the hospital but what we learned was that while they are still negotiating and re-negotiating that insurance is accepted until such time a decision has been made and I don't think many people were aware of that.

Mayor Newhard: That's true.

Trustee Patterson: So, that's important.

Trustee Cheney: Even for elective surgery?

Mayor Newhard: No.

Trustee Patterson: I'm sorry, for necessary, yes. Not for elective.

Trustee Cheney: So, emergency.

Trustee Patterson: So, emergency room, yes. All of those things. And then the only other thing I have and this isn't necessarily a report but the Bulk Pickup, I would like to officially request that we put that back in May because we were saying that it was getting close to graduation and we thought it wasn't really that close to graduation, there are schools in the area and Warwick students attend those schools, in fact I believe you attended that school, that has graduation...

Mike Moser: That graduation was quite early this year.

Trustee Patterson: No, no, it's that weekend every year. The graduation is typically the first week in June and I've heard from residents that the Memorial Day parade was a bummer to be marching, it's great that we do the pickup, but I really know that you teasingly say you'd like us to push it further into the year. I really would like it to be back to right after Too Good to Toss.

Mike Moser: That would just be a budget thing. It's work, it doesn't matter when it happens. The issue that you've heard a lot of is residents putting things out way too early.

Trustee Patterson: Right.

Mike Moser: Like the ones that started to be picked up today, their stuff was out over two and a half weeks ago, so that's kind of...

Trustee Patterson: Right, I think we are announcing it early enough and we are letting people know, because honestly we've pushed it, and don't get me wrong Mike, you guys have been so busy between all of the work at the playground and just getting ready for spring I know it's a really busy time of year, but if we plan for it and manage expectations early enough so that you could incorporate it into your schedule, that would be great.

And the other question that I have is, there's a couple of private properties on Oakland Avenue that have regular traffic 'One Way' signs at their driveway which seems very confusing to me. I felt like maybe they just went up.

Mike Moser: Yes, because you got me.

Mayor Newhard: Where is that?

Trustee Patterson: I believe it's Sterling. It's a regular traffic 'One Way' sign, but it was like it was a street. I've lived here for sixteen years and never noticed it before.

Mayor Newhard: A black and white sign?

Trustee Patterson: It's a standard traffic 'One Way' sign, because we probably have subliminally seen it in the back, and we don't notice it and then there's a property on the other side. I want to say it's the orthodontist and Third Street. Just beyond Third Street.

Trustee Lindberg: Into their parking lot.

Trustee Patterson: Yes, it's very confusing and I feel like if it's a Village sign it shouldn't be, it should be a private sign and it's such a pretty road.

Trustee Cheney: But the private sign still have to comply with the state regulations for signage so if that was required as the result of a Planning Board site plan approval that you have one way signs there, they would be the responsibility of the property owner but it would have to conform to the states sign.

Mayor Newhard: Oh really.

Trustee Patterson: Well, that's not typical though. I mean entrance only or exit only, do not exit. Just take a look at it.

Mike Moser: I think the banks has been there for a while. I just think you're noticing it more now that that gigantic tree is gone.

Trustee Patterson: That could be, it really jumped out at me.

Mike Moser: I think that they're just starting to put things back up because the tree in the back also lost a bunch of limbs, so they've been doing a lot.

Trustee Cheney: They've been there. The Sterling ones have been there.

Mike Moser: Yes, that's what I meant, I think there's one. But the house, I'll check into that.

Trustee Patterson: Yes, and then we are invoicing the Town for the other portion of the PA system, right?

Mayor Newhard: Yes.

Trustee Patterson: We are sending it on to them. They are not waiting for it from the vendor?

Mayor Newhard: Ok, I didn't know that so we will.

Trustee Patterson: I think I said that when I dropped it off but I just wanted to make sure that that happens because that vendor really jumped through hoops to get us that in time for Memorial Day so I want to make sure that they get paid.

Trustee McManus' Report:

School ends in seven days which some of them are half days and this is regent's week so most of the high school really isn't there. They are there for tests and not when they don't have one so there are more pedestrians and more kids in parks and more people just floating around town so extend more caution while you're driving. And since we won't meet before they graduate, I'd like to wish all of the graduates a congratulations. middle schoolers who are going up to high school, congratulations to them. Fourth grade going to middle school and nursery school going to kindergarten. There's only seven more days.

Mayor Newhard's Report:

Well, I was going to say that congrats, but you did it so eloquently then that's good. I don't have any other reports tonight.

Final Comments from the Floor

No comments.

Final Comments from the Board

No comments.

Adjournment

A **MOTION** was made by Trustee Cheney, seconded by Trustee McManus and carried to adjourn the regular meeting at approximately 8:45 p.m. Five Ayes